

This is the first of a series of 60 answers to 60 questions for Jehovah's Witnesses found at the website [JW Lies](#).

QUESTION #1 – How do you explain the direct correlation between Hebrews 1:10-12 and Psalms 102:25-27? They both say the same thing almost word-for-word and there are even cross references in your Bible linking them together. In Hebrews, it is about Jesus, but in Psalms it is about Jehovah.

ANSWER: The Psalm states, simply: **“Long ago you laid the foundations of the earth, and the heavens are the work of your hands.”** Obviously, the pronoun “you” is in reference to Jehovah God, the Creator of the heavens and the earth. However, over 1,000 years after the Psalm was penned the apostle Paul quoted the Psalm in the context of explaining the position of Christ relative to Jehovah. That is readily apparent in the context.

Trinitarians are fond of playing parlor tricks with isolated verses to dazzle the inexperienced and those with limited knowledge. But in order to avoid being taken in by their deception it is always advisable to read the immediate and extended context in order to gain a broader understanding. In this case, read the entire 1st chapter of Hebrews and the “correlation” becomes readily apparent.

Before considering the context, though, keep in mind this very basic element of truth; namely, that from the time of the rebellion in Eden when God originally foretold that a “seed” would come forth from “the woman” who would ultimately crush the head of the serpent, it was unknown who this messianic seed would be. All during the days of Israel and the prophets the identity of the seed was a mystery. But when Jesus was baptized by John the Baptizer, then God began revealing that Jesus, the so-called Nazarene, was the foretold Christ, the Messiah and the Son of God.

Paul's letter to the Hebrews goes into great detail to explain the position the mysterious seed occupied both prior to his coming to earth and after he was exalted. The opening words of his letter reveal a truth that had been hidden for thousands of years concerning the role that the Messiah played in the creation of the universe. Paul wrote: **“Long ago God spoke to our forefathers by means of the prophets on many occasions and in many ways. Now at the end of these days he has spoken to us by means of a Son, whom he appointed heir of all things, and through whom he made the systems**

of things. He is the reflection of God’s glory and the exact representation of his very being, and he sustains all things by the word of his power. And after he had made a purification for our sins, he sat down at the right hand of the Majesty on high.”

Any intelligent reader whose mind has not been indoctrinated with a lot of theological gobbledegook ought to be able to discern that Paul does not state that Jesus is God. No, rather, God appointed Jesus as his spokesman, an heir and made the systems “through” him. Surely, the Most High God does not appoint himself to a higher position or reward himself with special privileges that he already had. That is nonsensical. Not only that, Paul revealed that after God resurrected Jesus he ascended to heaven where he sits at the right hand of the Majesty on high. Clearly, two entities are presented —the Majesty on high and his Son at his right hand.

It is very important to note that prior to the revelation of Christ there were only sparse hints of the sacred secret concerning the identity of the coming one. For example, in the creation account in the first chapter of Genesis Jehovah spoke to a then-unknown, nameless entity, inviting him to join in creation; hence, we read: **“Let us make man in our image, according to our likeness...”** Later, after the Flood Jehovah again spoke to a person separate and distinct from himself, saying to him: **“Come! Let us go down there and confuse their language in order that they may not understand one another’s language.”**

The 8th chapter of Proverbs also hinted at the existence of a “helper” who was employed by God. Speaking as the personification of God’s wisdom before the earth was created, the proverb states: **“When he set a decree for the sea that its waters should not pass beyond his order, when he established the foundations of the earth, then I was beside him as a master worker. I was the one he was especially fond of day by day; I rejoiced before him all the time; I rejoiced over his habitable earth, and I was especially fond of the sons of men.”** — Proverbs 8:29-31

Even when Jesus was on earth and walked among the Jews he did not clearly reveal his role in creation —only that he knew the Father intimately, having been by his side in heaven before coming down to the earthly realm. ([John 8:23](#)) It was not until Paul began writing his epistles that the sacred secret was fully unveiled concerning

the position Jesus occupied prior to his coming down. No doubt some of the original Christians may not have fully realized the privileged life Jesus enjoyed prior to his becoming human. But that knowledge should cause us to appreciate all the more the sacrifice he made.

But as regards the “correlation,” Paul did not in anyway imply that Jesus was the Creator. His saying that Jesus laid the foundations of the earth is perfectly in keeping with the fact that Jesus was invited by God, indeed, empowered and authorized by the Creator himself, to do God’s work for him. And, as pointed out, the opening words of the letter to the Hebrews clarifies Jesus’ position as the agency *through* whom God made all things.

Since its inception in 1881 the Watchtower Society has consistently taught that Jesus is the special Son of God who created all things and who is God’s appointed heir.

QUESTION #2 – In your Bible, you have a section of John 8:58 translated as “I have been” when it should have been translated “I am”. Why would the Jews have tried stoning him after he simply said “I have been”? Jesus had just got done saying that they were not from God and were also liars, but yet they didn’t want to stone him. Why wasn’t it until only after he said “I have been” did they want to stone him?

ANSWER: First, the questioner is very much in error in insisting that the *NWT* has somehow mistranslated the expression “I am.”

The fact is, most translations have worded John 8:58 in such a way as to render it grammatically incorrect. But we can be sure that Jesus didn’t speak in some sort of Greek ebonics. While the Greek expression *ego eimi* is usually translated as “I am,” and to be sure, Jesus frequently said “I am;” for example, ‘I am the bread of life’; ‘I am the good shepherd’; ‘I am the way,’ etc., it is not always appropriate that it be rendered in the present tense. And contrary to the absurd notion that Jesus was taking to himself a title, the context shows that Jesus was revealing to the Jews that he had a pre-human existence, that he lived before Abraham was even born.

In that instance scholars recognize that when *ego eimi* is used to connect a present action to the past, as Jesus obviously was, (before Abraham was born) the phrase *ego eimi* may properly be translated to reflect that. Hence, instead of merely

transliterating *ego eimi* with no consideration for the nuance and meaning, a few translations besides the *New World Translation* have worded the verse differently.

For example, *An American Translation*, also called the Godspeed translation, words John 8:58 thusly: “I tell you, I existed before Abraham was born!”

The *Moffat Bible* words it this way: “Truly, truly I tell you,” said Jesus, “I have existed before Abraham was born.”

The *Living Bible* words it this way: “*Jesus: ‘The absolute truth is that I was in existence before Abraham was ever born!’*”

As for why the Jews tried to stone Jesus, again, the questioner is very much in error. In the very same chapter of John Jesus outed the Jews for plotting to kill him. He said to them straight out: **“I know that you are Abraham’s offspring. But you are seeking to kill me, because my word makes no progress among you. I speak the things I have seen while with my Father, but you do the things you have heard from your father.” In answer they said to him: “Our father is Abraham.” Jesus said to them: “If you were Abraham’s children, you would be doing the works of Abraham. But now you are seeking to kill me, a man who has told you the truth that I heard from God. Abraham did not do this.”**

Contrary to the trinitarian claptrap that the Jews tried to kill Jesus because he claimed to be God, prior to their attempt to kill him Jesus revealed the reason they were plotting his murder was because his word made no progress with them—in other words, they refused to believe that Jesus was the Messiah. As Jesus said, he told the Jews the truth that he heard *from* God—not that he was claiming to be God.

Long before his encounter with the Jews in the temple, Jesus was aware of their murderous intent. This is made evident in the preceding chapter as well, which states: **“After this Jesus continued traveling about in Galilee, for he did not want to do so in Judea because the Jews were seeking to kill him.”**

Nonetheless, Jesus courageously went to Jerusalem knowing the Jews’ intent. Although the Jews were the proud descendants of Abraham they refused to believe that Jesus was the foretold seed of Abraham, through whom all the nations would

receive a blessing. Had they known the Scriptures they would have known that the Messiah had a pre-human existence, even as it says in Micah 5:2: **“And you, O Bethlehem Ephrathah, the one too little to be among the thousands of Judah, from you will come out for me the one to be ruler in Israel, whose origin is from ancient times, from the days of long ago.”**

When Jesus explained to them that he existed before Abraham he was claiming to be the Messiah —not equating himself with God. Surely the prophecy of Micah differentiates between God and the ruler whom he appoints “whose origin is from ancient times, from days of long ago,” before Abraham was even born.

For a fact, the occasion when Jesus said he existed before Abraham was not the first time the demon-maddened Jews tried to murder Jesus. The first occasion was when he announced in his hometown synagogue that he was the Messiah foretold in Isaiah. When he explained why he would not be performing many miracles in their midst they tried to throw him off a cliff. Surely, there is no indication that on that occasion Jesus used the expression *ego eimi*.

So, the trinitarians’ claim that the Jews tried to stone Jesus because he claimed to be God is simply not true. It is a lie. [Watchtower reference material](#)

[You Tube video on the topic](#)

QUESTION #3 – According to Isaiah 9:6, Jesus is called ‘Mighty God’. The Watchtower teaches that Jesus is rightly called ‘Mighty God’, but this doesn’t mean he is ‘Almighty God’. Since this is the case, how do you explain Jehovah being called ‘Mighty God’ just a single chapter later in Isaiah 10:21? Using consistent logic, wouldn’t this mean that Jehovah is not Almighty God then?

ANSWER – I have used the analogy before. Trinitarian “theology” is like a magician’s card trick. In this instance the trickster pulls two kings from the deck, both of the same suit. While some might be awed, it is a simpleton’s trick.

What the trinitarian refuses to accept is that Jehovah has temporarily appointed his Son to sit upon his throne. That is what the Kingdom of God is all about.

To blur the distinction between Jehovah God and his son, Jesus Christ, virtually all trinitarian-approved Bibles have erased the distinctive name of God and replaced it

with LORD. In other words, the tricksters have stacked the deck so that any card (scripture) they pull from it comes up “Jesus.” Even the revised King James Version has been sanitized from displaying the name of Jehovah, where it appeared in the original 1611 version in four places. (YHWH appears in the Hebrew text in almost 7,000 places!)

But consider how the removal of the sacred name of God colors the passage in question. Here is the full text as it appears in the *New World Translation of the Holy Scriptures*: **“For a child has been born to us, a son has been given to us; and the rulership will rest on his shoulder. His name will be called Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God, Eternal Father, Prince of Peace. To the increase of his rulership and to peace, there will be no end, on the throne of David and on his kingdom in order to establish it firmly and to sustain it through justice and righteousness, from now on and forever. The zeal of Jehovah of armies will do this.”**

The passage in Isaiah is what is called a messianic prophecy. Scriptures such as found above are the reason the Jews —the faithful ones at least —were in expectation of the coming of the Messiah. But there is not a shred of evidence to suggest that the Jews imaged the Messiah was Jehovah God. Why would they? The verse above plainly states that the child, the son, is “given.” Given by whom? The last verse provides the answer: Jehovah is the One who gives his son. Jesus confirmed this when he spoke to a Jewish Pharisee, telling him: **“God loved the world so much that he gave his only-begotten Son.”**

Consider another aspect of the prophecy. The given-one will be called “Wonderful Counselor.” A few chapters further on in Isaiah another aspect of the coming Messiah is revealed. Isaiah 11:1-2 states: **“A twig will grow out of the stump of Jesse, and a sprout from his roots will bear fruit. And the spirit of Jehovah will settle upon him, the spirit of wisdom and of understanding, the spirit of counsel and of mightiness, the spirit of knowledge and of the fear of Jehovah. And he will find delight in the fear of Jehovah.”**

The grandson of Jesse was king Solomon, who was reputedly the wisest man who ever lived. Where and how did he acquire such wisdom so that the queen of the south traveled from the ends of the earth to test his great knowledge? Jehovah gave it to him because Solomon asked for wisdom to judge God’s people.

However, when Jesus walked the earth he said that something more than Solomon was here. Indeed, Jesus was greater than Solomon. Although Jesus amazed the elders with his knowledge when he was but a boy, it was not until the spirit of Jehovah settled down upon him that he was filled with superlative wisdom and discernment. That occasion was when Jesus was baptized, when the holy spirit in the form of a dove settled down upon him. That was when Jehovah anointed his Son with his holy spirit. That is when the Son was given to become the King and Messiah of Israel.

Most noteworthy, unlike Solomon who eventually apostatized, the Messiah feared Jehovah. But ought we imagine that Jehovah fears displeasing himself? This is the sort of nonsense trinitarians are required to believe. In fact, anyone who does not suspend their reasoning faculties and embrace such absurdities is considered non-Christian. That's right, [Matt Slick said it](#). Jehovah's Witnesses are not Christians because we do not accept the Catholic trinity.

But the point is, the titles given to the Messiah are conferred upon him by Jehovah. Jesus does not take such honors to himself.

As regards the princely rule coming to be upon his shoulders the prophecy of Daniel allows us to peer into Heaven and witness the coronation of the son of man. Daniel relates his enraptured vision: **“I kept watching in the visions of the night, and look! with the clouds of the heavens, someone like a son of man was coming; and he gained access to the Ancient of Days, and they brought him up close before that One. And to him there were given rulership, honor, and a kingdom, that the peoples, nations, and language groups should all serve him. His rulership is an everlasting rulership that will not pass away, and his kingdom will not be destroyed.”**

This is obviously one key passage that is not in the tricksters' card deck. You will never hear a trinitarian cite this passage for the reason that it shows the clear distinction between God —the Ancient of Days —and the Son of man, a title Jesus applied to himself. But, undeniably, Jehovah confers rulership, honor and kingdom upon the one who is brought before him. Again, only a fool would suppose that God gains access to himself and bestows honor and authority upon himself. Sadly, though, there are multitudes of fools in the world.

Now, as regards Jesus being a Mighty God, how better could he be described that would convey the extent of the power he has been given? Since Satan the Devil is called the god of this world and the enthroned Son of man is ultimately going to overpower and utterly annihilate him, would not Jesus have to possess greater power to destroy legions of demon gods? Surely he would. Thank Jehovah that he has made Christ a Mighty God who now possesses incontestable power, which he will use in behalf of Jehovah's people in the near future.

But since it is already irrefutably, scripturally established that Jehovah confers wisdom and rulership to the Son, so that he will be called Wonderful Counselor and Prince of peace, it is self-evident that Jehovah also imparts power and mightiness as well, so that during his reign he will also be called Mighty God, just as Jehovah himself is the Mighty God who granted him to sit down on his throne.

And, it should be noted that the prophecy in the ninth chapter of Isaiah is so far unfulfilled. True, the son and child has been given. And God's spirit settled down upon him when he was anointed to become Jehovah's Christ, and by virtue of his being a descendent of David, the son of Jesse, he is entitled to the throne of Israel; still, the Messiah has not begun ruling to the ends of the earth. That is why the verse states "he *will be* called..." So, his being called by the titles Mighty God, Prince of peace, etc., is a future event. Whereas, Jehovah has always been called Mighty God the Son will become such when he comes in the name of Jehovah to slay all of God's enemies and usher in a new world of peace and righteousness.

And if you want to believe it, Jesus himself will be almighty too. But just as he does not take the title of Creator, neither does he take Jehovah's unique title of Almighty, since it is only by Jehovah's unsurpassed generosity that the Son possesses the fullness of the Father.

[YouTube video on topic](#)

Question #4 – According to the Bible, Jesus is 'God' with a big 'G' (Isaiah 9:6, John 20:28, etc). The Watchtower teaches that Jesus is 'a God' or 'a separate God', but not God himself. Doesn't this directly contradict multiple scriptures such as Isaiah 44:6, Isaiah 44:8, Isaiah 45:21-22, and so on?

ANSWER – First, just to be clear, in the original languages of the Bible there were no large and small case letters. It is up to modern translators to apply such devices as the text warrants.

In the context of Isaiah Jehovah is claiming that from his perspective —being Almighty God —he does not recognize any of the idol gods of the nations as being legitimate gods. They are not his peers, nor did he create them.

In the opening words of the 46th chapter of Isaiah Jehovah even names one particular god from the Babylonian pantheon of gods —[Nebo](#), who, not coincidentally, was part of the Babylonian/Assyrian trinity, being the mythological son of the god Marduk and his virtual wife, Sarpanitu. Nebo’s worshippers imagined him to be the source of wisdom and intelligence. However, Jehovah chided Nebo for his powerlessness, for having to be carted around on a donkey and for going into exile himself when Cyrus conquered Babylon.

As Isaiah and other prophets relate, God has no equal. Although there are other gods —gods being defined superhuman entities —they are not in any way superior to Jehovah. Certainly, there is no way that human craftsmen can fabricate any device that can approximate his glory. Jehovah exists far above the human realm and even dominates the spirit dimension with his presence.

That is why the letter of James states that the demons, who pass themselves off as gods, know quite well that Jehovah exists, but that knowledge makes them bristle up in revulsion and dread. (See footnote of James 2:19 in the [NWT Reference Bible](#))

That there are gods other than Jehovah, though, at least those who claim to be gods, is acknowledged by Jehovah God himself, as Jeremiah 10:10-11 states: **“But Jehovah is truly God. He is the living God and the eternal King. Because of his indignation the earth will quake, and no nations will endure his denunciation. This is what you should say to them: “The gods that did not make the heavens and the earth will perish from the earth and from under these heavens.””**

If there is only one God, a living Deity who created the heavens and the earth whose name is Jehovah, why are there so many gods that compete for our worship —including the Catholic trinity god? Why is there so much confusion? The answer is, there was a rebellion of godlike, powerful angels —Satan being the first of many.

Satan coveted the worship Jehovah received from the first two humans. He wanted to be Jehovah. So, he devised a scheme to steal it.

As time went on other sons of God joined the Devil in rebellion. They came down to earth to mate with human women —producing a freakish race of Nephilim. God moved to thwart their scheme to pollute the human race by causing the earth-wide cataclysm known as Noah’s flood.

The Deluge forced the materialized angels to dissolve their flesh and return to the spirit realm. Since then they have become known as the demons. It is these demons who pretend to be God. They seduced the Israelites into worshipping them instead of Jehovah, as Deuteronomy 32:16-17 states: **“They incited him to fury with foreign gods; they were offending him with detestable things. They were sacrificing to demons, not to God, to gods that they had not known, new ones that came along recently, to gods that your forefathers did not know.”**

Those same demons were masquerading as gods during the first century when Christianity was established. That is why one of Jesus’ foremost disciples wrote in one of his letters: **“No; but I say that what the nations sacrifice, they sacrifice to demons and not to God; and I do not want you to become sharers with the demons.”** (1 Corinthians 10:20)

So, there are false gods —not just idols, but intelligent, powerful spirits, that are determined to steal God’s worship. Obviously, the false gods are still very much in existence to this very day.

But not all gods are false. Not all the gods wish to steal God’s glory. Not all the gods are in competition with the true God. There is one special god who is completely loyal and subservient to Jehovah. True, he did not exist before God. No one did. So, in that respect he is not a god in the same sense as the self-existing, Eternal God. Nonetheless, he is a god – the only-begotten god.

Although it is impossible to comprehend, Jehovah God has always existed. He is timeless. He simply is and always has been and always will be. I know, it is mind-blowing to even contemplate, but he was alone for all eternity too. But just because something is beyond our finite comprehension does not mean it is not true.

Evidently time has no meaning to God. Time only began when God first created. That is why John 1:1 states: “In the beginning...” Anyway, the Existing One obviously became a Creator. And his first creation was a god, in his own image. That is why the apostle John wrote the following concerning Jesus and his unique position relative to God: **“No man has seen God at any time; the only-begotten god who is at the Father’s side is the one who has explained Him.”** -Jn1:18

Contrary to the wanna-be gods, before Jesus came down to the earth he was Jehovah’s firstborn, his only-begotten son, whom God then empowered to create everything else. Truly, in every sense of the word the firstborn is himself a god. But, he is a god —not due to his simply being, as in the case of Jehovah —but because God fathered him, begetting him to be a god, the only one of his kind; hence, the only-begotten god.

Of course, no trinitarian can possibly explain how God begot himself or why that would even be necessary. The truth expressed at John 1:18 is so devastating to the trinity myth that trinitarian Bible producers have merely stricken “only-begotten god” from their Bibles, substituting “son” instead and many also ditching “only-begotten” too. Some translators are even compelled to add that the son is God even though there is nothing in the Greek to support that sort of rendering. For example, here is how the verse is rendered in the NIV: **“No one has ever seen God, but the one and only Son, who is himself God and is in closest relationship with the Father, has made him known.”** Shamelessly, an NIV footnote notes that some manuscripts say ‘only son’ – neglecting to inform the reader that the earliest manuscripts say something entirely different.

Actually, the Catholic Church gave them a helping hand. Long ago, shortly after Constantine hijacked Christianity and incorporated it into the Roman Empire, before English even existed, scribes employed by the empire to copy Greek texts changed John 1:18, removing “god” and substituting “son.” Ironically, trinitarians frequently accuse the Watchtower of changing the Bible, but the truth is, the Catholic Church originally took the liberty of editing the Greek texts to suit their purpose to make Jesus God and shove Jehovah out of the picture. In effect, one of the most powerful truths that testifies to Jesus being a god in relation to the true God has been stolen, no doubt by the same thief who seduced Eve into stealing God’s fruit and who covets all that belongs to God. So, the early English translators can be forgiven, since the Greek texts they were working from were riddled with these types of forgeries.

However, in more recent centuries other manuscripts that predate the fourth century have been discovered. And other manuscripts, such as the the Codex Sinaiticus, were written by copyists who were not under the influence of Constantine's priests. And in every instance, without exception, every manuscript produced before the fourth century or independent of Catholic influence, says that Jesus is the "only-begotten god."

One would think that the trinitarians would embrace John 1:18, since it says that Jesus is God, but it is the only-begotten aspect that causes them trouble. Surely, modern translators who produce versions such as the *New International Version*, which is very popular with evangelicals, are aware of the issue, but due to their overriding bias they continue to perpetuate the fraud and render the verse as "son" instead of "god." In this respect the trinity god is no better than Nebo, not being able to stand on his own two feet either, so-to-speak; requiring human assistance in its behalf to prop it up for lack of scriptural support.

Thankfully not all translators are dishonest. For example the [Rotherham Bible](#) is true to the most ancient authority, rendering the verse as: **"No one, hath seen, God, at any time: An Only Begotten God, The One existing within the bosom of the Father, He, hath interpreted [him]"**

(Since in the original text there is no definite article, as in John 1:1, it is perfectly proper to render the expression as "only-begotten god" —small "g.")

As regards God's declaration in Isaiah, that he recognized no other god, that none were created before of after, it should be obvious that Jehovah was speaking of the gods who were opposed to him, who were/are his enemies – not his only-begotten god/son. Not only that, but Isaiah was written before the sacred secret concerning Christ was unveiled. However, when Jesus came to the earth then it was revealed that God had a special son, who himself is a god, in whom Jehovah has concealed all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge. (Colossians 2:3)

A sobering reality we should not lose sight of is this: The judgment upon the fake gods has not been carried out yet. Christ has been appointed as God's executioner to destroy the Devil and his demons and exterminate their worshippers. The irony is, during the day of Jehovah Christ will use his God-given powers to destroy all those "liking and carrying on a lie," as Revelation 22:15 states, which would obviously include the masses of trinity worshippers who lyingly claim that Jesus is God and who deny that his Father, Jehovah, is the only true God who begot him.

Resource – [Examining the Trinity blog](#)

QUESTION #5 – Thomas called Jesus ‘God’ with a big ‘G’ at John 20:28. Jesus not only failed to correct Thomas, but he actually confirmed that Thomas was correct. How can this be, if Jesus is not God?

ANSWER – In my opinion one of the most important qualities for a person to cultivate is honesty. Honesty being, not just refraining from telling lies, but honesty in our inner-self, in our reasoning, in judging what is true. Meekness and honesty of heart are related. Unfortunately, though, we humans have a nearly unlimited capacity for deceiving ourselves, which makes honesty of heart all the more precious.

Jesus listed wicked reasonings as one of the sins that springs from the human heart and which defiles a person. Wicked reasonings are the opposite of meekness and honesty of heart. Most of the Jewish religious leaders, though not all, exemplified those who were wicked in their reasonings, as they always resisted Jesus, trying to trick or entrap him at every opportunity by using the law against him. Trinitarians similarly employ the dark art of wicked reasonings to entrap the unwary. Consider, now the verse in question.

It is ironic, indeed humorous, that trinitarians resort to citing Thomas as an authority, when in fact he was an unbeliever, at least until he was disabused of his disbelief. Having been unable to comprehend or accept Jesus’ own testimony that he was going to be handed over to the older men and killed, then raised on the third day, Thomas, along with the others, refused to accept the witness of Mary and Martha, that they had seen the risen Lord. The very fact that Jesus first appeared to two women was intended as a humiliating reproof to his proud apostles, who all abandoned him in his hour of trial.

Later, though, Jesus appeared to the apostles as they were gathered in a room behind locked doors, even showing them his wounds; except Thomas was not present on that occasion. But when told that they too had seen the Lord Thomas refused to believe his fellow apostles. Thomas was so obstinate in his disbelief that he declared that the only way he would believe Christ had risen from the dead was if he could perform his own personal, post-mortem examination of the wounds in Jesus’ flesh.

Out of consideration for his unbelieving apostle eight days later Jesus again materialized before the apostles in a private room, cloaked with the flesh that bore the same wounds that had been inflicted upon him when he was cruelly hung upon the stake and speared in the side by the Roman guard.

Jesus specifically approached Thomas and said to him: **“Put your finger here, and see my hands, and take your hand and stick it into my side, and stop being unbelieving but become believing.”**

It was at that point that the astonished apostle exclaimed: **“My lord and my God!”** Jesus responded with another rebuke, saying: **“Because you have seen me have you believed? Happy are those who do not see and yet believe.”**

But what exactly did Thomas disbelieve or believe? Did he really believe that Jesus was Almighty God? No. That is highly unlikely. After all, Thomas was not stupid. As one of the Twelve, Thomas had been with Jesus all during his ministry and he knew that Jesus was the Son of God. In fact, on one occasion Jesus asked the apostles straight-out who they thought he was. Peter spoke for them all when he said: **“You are the Christ, Son of the living God,”** to which Jesus responded: **“Happy you are, Simon son of Jonah, because flesh and blood did not reveal it to you, but my Father who is in the heavens did.”** —Matthew 16:17

So, according to Jesus’ own witness, he is the Son of God and the apostles were in no doubt that God, Jesus’ Father, was in heaven. Thomas was not in doubt about Jesus’ identity. He had difficulty believing that Jesus had actually been raised from the dead and was alive. But to be sure, the resurrection of Christ from the dead to never die again is, after all, the very cornerstone of the Christian faith, which is why Jesus said **“happy are those who do not see and yet believe.”**

That Jesus’ identity as the Son was not in question is verified by the very next verse in the 20th chapter of John, which states: **“To be sure, Jesus performed many other signs also before the disciples, which are not written down in this scroll. But these have been written down that you may believe that Jesus is the Christ the Son of God, and that, because of believing, you may have life by means of his name.”**

The reasoning of trinitarians is that had Thomas been wrong in declaring Jesus to be God, then Jesus would have corrected him. But that is wicked reasoning. As already pointed out, Jesus explained to the apostles that the Father had revealed to them that he was the Son. So, the exact opposite is true: Had Jesus thought that Thomas imagined he was Jehovah he most assuredly would have set him straight. More likely, though, had Thomas been so stupid as to believe that Jesus was Jehovah he probably would not have even qualified to be an apostle in the first place.

So why did Thomas exclaim “My Lord and my God!”

No doubt Thomas realized at the moment Christ materialized before him that he was in trouble. And when Jesus singled him out and told him to examine his wounds Thomas knew that Jesus was aware of his disbelief. He knew that the former apostle, Judas, had already self-destructed and that Peter had also denied knowing Jesus and his fellow apostles were bewildered and confused too. Thomas surely knew his very faith was on the line as he stood before the resurrected Christ. So, he responded resolutely, unequivocally, declaring Jesus to be his absolute lord and master, without the slightest reservation or hint of doubt.

But why did Thomas refer to Jesus as his god? No doubt Thomas was aware that Jesus had resurrected a number of Israelites, including their friend Lazarus. But those people came back to life as the same people they were before they died, as humans. Jesus on the other hand, although appearing as a human, was not. After all, what human can walk through walls and appear in a room without unlocking a door? And yet, that is exactly what Jesus did.

Although Jesus was clothed with flesh — obviously because he had to make himself visible in order to convince the senseless apostles that he had indeed been raised up from the dead, yet it also had to be obvious to them that Jesus had changed — he no longer had human limitations. No, Jesus was no longer a mere man. But what was he?

Keep in mind that a few days before when Jesus had appeared to Mary he told her to stop clinging to him. Why? Jesus told her: **“For I have not yet ascended to the Father. But be on your way to my brothers and say to them, ‘I am ascending to my Father and your Father and to my God and your God.’”**

Mary delivered the message to the apostles, that Jesus was going to ascend to his God and their God, that is to say —to Jehovah God. This is something extraordinary. What human has ever ascended to heaven before? None. No one. Contrary to what the untaught may claim, according to Jesus Christ himself no one has ascended to heaven except he that descended —the Son of man. (John 3:13)

It was perhaps as an expression of his sudden realization that Jesus was indeed going to appear before the very person of Jehovah in heaven – that Christ was no longer even human, that he had indeed transcended into spirit, the realm of gods, that Thomas exclaimed: *“My Lord and my God!”*

Or, since Thomas was likely aware that Jesus had previously appeared to other disciples since his resurrection and he rebuked them as being senseless and slow to believe all the things the prophets spoke, Thomas may have wanted to show Jesus that he believed him to be the messianic Mighty God foretold in Isaiah. In any case, Jesus obviously did not think Thomas was addressing him in the mistaken belief that he was Jehovah God.

For those who still imagine that Jesus is Jehovah, who refuse to accept the testimony of Christ and his apostles that Jesus is the Son of God, Jesus’ rebuke of Thomas is most appropriate: Stop being unbelieving but become believing.

YouTube video

QUESTION #6 – When was Jehovah ever valued at 30 silver pieces as stated in Zechariah 11:12-13? And why does your Bible have a cross reference pointing to the New Testament which is about how Jesus was betrayed for 30 silver pieces?

ANSWER – The questioner does not understand the prophecy of Zechariah. The prophet Zechariah was merely portraying Jehovah’s shepherd and he requested to have his wage of 30 pieces of silver, which was paid to him. Then God commanded Zechariah to throw the silver into the temple treasury. Zechariah is the “me” whose services as a shepherd were valued at 30 pieces of silver. The prophecy was only later applied to Jesus by the apostles, but of course, in that instance it was Judas who received the wage, not Jesus.

QUESTION #7 – We are told many times in the Bible that God resurrected Jesus. See Acts 2:24, Acts 2:32, Acts 3:15, Acts 3:26, Acts 4:10, Acts 5:30, Acts 10:40, Acts 13:30-37,

Romans 4:24, Romans 6:4, Romans 10:9, 1 Corinthians 6:14, Galatians 1:1, Ephesians 1:17-20, Colossians 2:12, and 1 Peter 1:21. However, Jesus told the Jews that if they destroyed this temple that HE would raise it again in 3 days (John 2:19-21). The Bible clearly defines what Jesus meant by saying the 'temple' meant his BODY. So if Jesus raised his own body and the Bible clearly says that God raised Jesus, then who would Jesus be then? Also, what does this mean for your teachings that Jesus ceased to exist and needed recreated?

ANSWER – The Hebrew nation was surely blessed by Jehovah. Not only did he provide an abundance for them materially and protect them from their enemies — at least when they were faithful to him — but they were the only nation on earth that knew the truth about death. The reason was as Paul said in his letter to the Romans, they had originally been entrusted with the sacred pronouncements of God. (Romans 3:2)

Whereas, all the peoples under Satan's domination had religions built upon the lie that death does not end it all, the Israelites knew that it did. They knew that their only hope of a future life depended upon a resurrection from the dead at a point in the distant future.

For example, the prophet Daniel wrote concerning the coming of the great prince, Michael, that **“many of those asleep in the dust of the earth will wake up, some to everlasting life and others to reproach and to everlasting contempt.”** —Daniel 12:2

When Jesus' friend Lazarus died, Jesus told his apostles that Lazarus had gone to sleep. The apostles misunderstood Jesus, imagining Lazarus was literally taking his rest. Then Jesus straightforwardly said: “Lazarus has died.” His grieving sister, Martha, told Jesus that she believed that her brother would rise in the resurrection on the last day. Needless to say, barring a miracle Lazarus would stay in an unconscious state, as if sleeping, until the “last day.”

The belief in a resurrection from the dead was in sharp contrast to the pagan religions, whose adherents believed that the souls of the dead were conscious, floating around somewhere —not unlike the average churchgoer today.

When Jesus went to where they had entombed Lazarus his sister objected to having the stone rolled away from the mouth of the tomb, saying: **“Lord, by now he must smell, for it has been four days.”** Surely the Jewess did not imagine that

her brother was alive in the nether world. She knew he was dead and his corpse was undergoing decay.

But Jesus reassured her that if she believed she would see the glory of God. Jesus then prayed to his heavenly Father in the hearing of the crowd gathered around the opened tomb, saying: **“Father, I thank you that you have heard me. True, I knew that you always hear me; but I spoke on account of the crowd standing around, so that they may believe that you sent me.” When he had said these things, he cried out with a loud voice: “Lazarus, come out!”**”

For those who are willing to honestly reason, would any of the Jews who witnessed this astounding miracle have believed that Jesus resurrected Lazarus because he was God? Of course not. Jesus made it a point to petition his heavenly Father in the hearing of the people so that they would know that Jehovah had sent Jesus to do his work among them.

Over the more than 40 years that I have had discussions with trinitarians some have argued that Jesus only prayed to God to set an example for others. But if that is true, then Jesus was nothing more than a charlatan. That is because Jesus made it known that the Father to whom he was praying always heard his prayer. But if Jesus was merely pretending to pray then he was lying when he said he knew the Father always heard him.

Since Jesus did not resurrect Lazarus by his own power, how could he have resurrected himself from an unconscious, powerless, indeed, inanimate condition? The fact is, he didn't.

As Jesus' life ebbed away as he hung, bleeding, nailed to a pole, gasping for breath, his very last utterance was a plea to God to remember him: **“And Jesus called out with a loud voice and said: ‘Father, into your hands I entrust my spirit.’ After he said this, he expired.”**

To expire literally means to breathe your last breath, which is the way the [NIV words Luke 23:46](#). In other words, Jesus died. His life-force, the animating spirit of God that Jehovah originally blew into the nostrils of Adam, left his body. Jesus knew that his future-life prospects rested entirely with God. He trusted God to

resuscitate him on the third day, which, of course, he did, as even the questioner admits in the cited verses.

So, what did Jesus mean when he told the Jewish authorities to tear down this temple and I will rebuild it in three days? Did Jesus literally resurrect himself?

In this regard trinitarians really betray their absolute ignorance, not only of the Scriptures overall, but of the very nature of God himself.

To suppose that God Almighty, the Creator of the vast universe and the trillions of nuclear, flaming stars, had somehow shrink-wrapped himself in flesh and then submitted himself to death is ridiculous – absolutely preposterous!

The ardent trinitarian believer may suppose that God can do anything, but the same “believer” may also believe that an obese santa claus can slide down a sooty chimney and climb back out! The fact is, God has limitations. For example, it is impossible for God to tell a lie. (Hebrews 6:7) He can’t do it. It is also impossible for the immortal, Living God to die. He simply cannot, ever, die. Nor can he diminish or deny himself.

Perhaps the true believer in the trinity myth may suppose that God only pretended to die, sort of like the marsupial, opossum playing dead. But that leads to numerous unsolvable difficulties too.

What, then, did Jesus mean?

What trinitarians refuse to accept is that Jesus Christ was a man —not a god/man, but a godly man, to be sure. Although Jesus had been a deity prior to his coming to the earth, Paul explained to the Philippians that he emptied himself of his divine nature and came to be a human. For a fact, Jesus had to be human —no more, no less —in order to correspond to Adam before he sinned.

In the 15th chapter of 1 Corinthians Paul explained the correspondency of Jesus to Adam, saying: **“The first man Adam became a living person.” The last Adam became a life-giving spirit. However, what is spiritual is not first. What is physical is first, and afterward what is spiritual. The first man is from the earth and made of dust; the second man is from heaven.”**

In what sense was Jesus the “last Adam” and the “second man”? Jesus was the second perfect man that God created. Also, he was the last perfect man that God will ever create. Although the redeemed human race will ultimately attain the sinless perfection of the first man, it will not come about as a direct creation of God, but rather, through the redemptive work of Christ during the 1,000 years, when he and his fellow 144,000 kings and priests minister to mankind.

Being a sinless human who had been directly fathered by Jehovah, Jesus did not inherit Adam’s sin. Consequently, Jesus was not under the condemnation of death, since death is a direct result of sin. That means that Jesus possessed the same perfect standing before God that Adam did in the garden of Eden before he listened to Eve and ate the forbidden fruit. Jesus had the right to everlasting life that God bestowed on the first Adam —provided he obeyed God.

But Jehovah did not wish for his beloved second man to live forever on the earth. He gave birth to the last Adam so that he might offer his perfect life as a human sacrifice to God; this, in order to justify God to redeem the original Adam’s dying offspring. However, it all hinged on Jesus’ obedience. Again, Paul explained the process: **“So, then, as through one trespass the result to men of all sorts was condemnation, so too through one act of justification the result to men of all sorts is their being declared righteous for life. For just as through the disobedience of the one man many were made sinners, so also through the obedience of the one person many will be made righteous.”** —Romans 5:18-19

Whereas, in order to obey God Adam simply had to have sex with his beautiful wife (“be fruitful and become many”) and refrain from eating from merely one tree in the paradise of pleasure. Jesus, on the other hand, was required to subject himself to the cruelest death imaginable in order to do his Father’s will.

Although God’s exalted sense of justice required a corresponding price to the value of Adam’s life in order to redeem his offspring, because Adam willfully disobeyed God, the Ransomer must willingly sacrifice his life. It cannot be taken from him without his consent. In other words, as much as God desired to redeem our dying race, without the *willing* sacrifice of a perfect human God could not arbitrarily act against his own righteous standard. As a free moral agent the second man had to agree to forfeit his life in our behalf.

Jesus explained it this way: **“This is why the Father loves me, because I surrender my life, so that I may receive it again. No man takes it away from me, but I surrender it of my own initiative. I have authority to surrender it, and I have authority to receive it again. This commandment I received from my Father.”** —John 10:17-18

Please take note that Jesus said he had authority to “receive” his life again. What did he mean? Obviously, he did not receive life from himself. As already stated, Jesus was not under the condemnation of death. The only way he could die is if he willingly surrendered his life, which he did. But because his death was not punishment for having lived a sinful life God was obligated by justice to give him his life back. And doing so did not require any sort of ransom being paid or violate justice. On the contrary, not bringing Jesus back to life would have been a universal travesty!

So, the command from the Father was, to perhaps paraphrase, ‘Son, if you do this, if you willingly submit to death, I promise I will bring you back to life.’

To put it succinctly, Jesus controlled his own destiny. Even though the murderous Jews sought to kill their Messiah they could not unless Jesus voluntarily submitted to death. But if he did —if he entrusted his spirit to the Father—he knew that he would rise again on the third day. Because of having this assurance from the Father Jesus could speak with absolute confidence of his rising from the dead—as if he himself would bring it about. And indeed, if he subjected himself to the Father’s will his resurrection was guaranteed by the solemn promise of Jehovah.

Of course, the Jewish temple-keepers had no clue what Jesus was talking about when he told them to tear down this temple and I will rebuild it. And neither do their trinitarian counterparts today.

[YouTube video](#)

***QUESTION #8** – According to John 12:41, John says Isaiah saw Jesus’ glory and spoke about him. The New World Translation has a cross reference pointing back to Isaiah 6:1,8. There, Isaiah saw Jehovah and spoke about him. There is even a cross reference pointing to John 12:41. So the Watchtower agrees that these scriptures are related. How can both passages of scripture be true unless Jesus is Jehovah?*

ANSWER – Cross references in any translation should not necessarily be construed as an interpretation or all-encompassing explanation of a particular verse, especially when it involves prophecy. That is because prophecy may have multiple meanings. But, the context should be considered foremost.

The folly of the trinitarian’s one-verse-says-it-all approach is on full display in the 12th chapter of John, from where the supposed proof text was extracted. The setting was Jesus’ last sojourn to Jerusalem before he was arrested. While in the temple courtyard he cried aloud, asking for his Father to glorify his name — a name that appears in the text of Isaiah alone over 500 times. In response to Jesus’ request Jehovah spoke from the heavens and said: **“I have glorified it and will glorify it again.”**

The crowd heard the voice of God. However, some speculated that an angel had spoken to Jesus. Others imagined that it thundered. Jesus explained: **“This voice has occurred, not for my sake, but for your sakes.”** It was yet another occasion when God spoke from above to confirm that Jesus was his Son.

Jesus went in to say to them: **“The light will be among you a little while longer. Walk while you still have the light, so that darkness does not overpower you; whoever walks in the darkness does not know where he is going. While you have the light, exercise faith in the light, so that you may become sons of light.”**

Jesus said this in response to the question put to him by the Jews, when they said: **“We heard from the Law that the Christ remains forever. How can you say that the Son of man must be lifted up? Who is this Son of man?”**

The Jews, including the apostles at the time, could not comprehend why Jesus said he was going to be lifted up —as in hung upon a crude pole or stake that would be lifted up and dropped into a hole in the ground. Indeed, if Jesus was the messianic king the prophecies foretold, such as found in Isaiah, then the Christ must reign forever. They were ignorant of the fact that Isaiah also foretold that Jehovah’s servant would be crushed, that God himself would take delight in crushing him and seeing him pour out his soul to the very death. Indeed, he would be buried in death. This is stated in the 53rd chapter of Isaiah: **“For he was cut off from the land of the living; because of the transgression of my people he received the stroke. And he was given a burial place with the wicked, and with the rich in his death, although he had done no wrong and there was no deception in his mouth. But it was Jehovah’s will to crush him, and he let him become sick. If you will present his life as a guilt offering, He will see his offspring, he will prolong his days, and through him the delight of Jehovah will have success.”**

A question thinking Jews should have considered is this: How could a person be cut off from the land of the living, yes, be buried in death, and yet prolong his days and see his offspring? There is only one solution to the perplexing riddle. Jehovah would resurrect him, recovering him from the grave. No doubt the implied resurrection of the Christ, which is unquestionably one of the most glorious miracles ever to be performed by God, is how Isaiah saw beforehand his glory and spoke about it in his prophecy.

Another aspect is this: Just as on that occasion Jesus urged the Jews to walk in his light while it existed, Isaiah also saw beforehand the glory of Christ as the light of mankind. Writing in the 9th chapter Isaiah said: **“However, the gloom will not be as when the land had distress, as in former times when the land of Zebulun and the land of Naphtali were treated with contempt. But at a**

later time He will cause it to be honored—the way by the sea, in the region of the Jordan, Galilee of the nations. The people who were walking in the darkness have seen a great light. As for those dwelling in the land of deep shadow, light has shone on them.”

As is common knowledge, Jesus was from the region of Palestine known as Galilee. He performed many of his miracles in the area, even walking upon the Sea of Galilee and calming its tempestuous waves. Surely the apostles who witnessed Jesus' astounding miracles and heard him preach recognized that he was a bright, shining light. In this way Isaiah saw his glory and spoke about him.

But prophecy is like an onion, with many layers. It is true, Isaiah experienced a rapturous epiphany when he envisioned Jehovah seated on a lofty throne surrounded by worshipping seraphs. It was on that occasion that Isaiah was commissioned by Jehovah to go to the nation and speak God's judgments to them.

However, the prophecy does not merely apply to Isaiah's day, nor to the first century when Jesus came from Galilee. More especially it applies to the second coming of Christ. For example, the sixth chapter of Isaiah concludes, saying: **“But there will still be a tenth in it, and it will again be burned, like a big tree and like an oak, which after they are cut down leave a stump; a holy seed will be its stump.”** The holy seed that survives the felling of the national “tree” in one sense was Christ, whose royal lineage was unbroken even though the nation was cut down. But more is involved than Jesus.

Those who belong to Christ are also the holy seed – the tenth. As the prophecy of Isaiah details, Christ's congregation is destined to be destroyed too, just as was Israel and Judah. It is from that destruction that a holy seed will survive. Revelation speaks of the seed of the woman surviving a flood of Satan's persecution during

the tumultuous conclusion. That is the burning of the stump, again, from which comes the end result.

Not coincidentally, the name of Isaiah means the same as Jesus' own name; namely, Jehovah is salvation —or, salvation of Jehovah. Just as the Jews in Jesus' day were spiritually blind, the blindness of God's people might be considered a sub-theme that runs through the entire prophecy of Isaiah, not merely in the 6th chapter. That being so, the blindness extends down to those who will be on hand when Christ returns in the glory of Jehovah. And their blindness will only be remedied by their seeing Christ in his glory. Isaiah words it this way: **“Arise, O woman, shed light, for your light has come. The glory of Jehovah shines on you. For look! darkness will cover the earth and thick gloom the nations; but on you Jehovah will shine, and on you his glory will be seen.”**

Not only did Isaiah see the glory of Jehovah in the initial vision, but he saw the ultimate unveiling —indeed, the manifestation and revelation of Jehovah's Christ. As an end result, it is as Jesus said: **“the chosen ones will shine as brightly as the sun in the Kingdom of their Father.”**

In that sense Jehovah will shine upon them and the glory of Jehovah will be manifested in Jesus when he comes in the glory of his Father and sits down on his glorious throne with all of God's angels surrounding him, including the seraphs who were attending to Jehovah in the initial vision. In that respect, Isaiah certainly did see Jehovah's glory yet to be revealed.

QUESTION #9 – How do you explain both Jehovah and Jesus being called by the same titles (eg. 'Lord of Lords', 'Savior', 'Mighty God', 'First and the Last', 'King of Israel', 'Rock', 'Light', 'Almighty', 'Alpha and Omega', and 'Shepherd')?

ANSWER – This is easily explained by those who know the Scriptures. First, Jesus is Lord because the Lord Jehovah appointed him to be such. This is Truth 101. The apostle Peter explained this to the Jews who had just killed Jesus a few weeks before, but who were unaware that Jehovah had resurrected him. Peter said to the gathered crowd: “For David did not ascend to the heavens, but he himself says, ‘Jehovah said to my Lord: ‘Sit at my right hand until I place your enemies as a stool for your feet.’ Therefore, let all the house of Israel know for a certainty that God made him both Lord and Christ, this Jesus whom you executed on a stake.”

The truth is very simple. God made Jesus Lord and Christ.

The apostle was quoting from Psalm 110:1, where it says: **“The LORD says to my lord: ‘Sit at my right hand until I make your enemies a footstool for your feet.’”** – NIV

Most readers undoubtedly know that the popular translations preferred by trinitarians have removed God’s personal name, refusing to translate YHWH as a proper name. Following the lead of the original *King James Version* other translations like the *New International Version* use the title Lord instead of God’s personal name. But to make a distinction between Jehovah and other lords they use all caps. But Psalm 110 presents a dilemma for the trinitarian, since it is obvious that the big cap LORD invited the small case lord to sit upon his throne. Since Jesus is the small case lord it is apparent that he does not wield authority over the large cap LORD, nor are they equals. Although Jesus is rightly called the Lord of lords, it is undeniable that he is not lord over the Sovereign Lord Jehovah.

As for both lords sharing the title of Savior, that is easy to resolve as well. A few days after Peter originally spoke to the Jews he was arrested and ordered to stop preaching. In response the apostle said: **“We must obey God as ruler rather than men. The God of our forefathers raised up Jesus, whom you**

killed, hanging him on a stake. God exalted this one as Chief Agent and Savior to his right hand, to give repentance to Israel and forgiveness of sins. And we are witnesses of these matters, and so is the holy spirit, which God has given to those obeying him as ruler.”

Peter again testified to the fact that God resurrected Jesus and exalted him to his right hand of power, making Jesus the Chief Agent and Savior. Not even the stiff-necked Jews who had Jesus killed were so thick-headed so as to imagine that Peter was saying that Jesus was God. No, Jesus was appointed by God to be the Savior due to the fact that he had sacrificed his human life, which is the basis for our salvation.

The other shared titles are similarly resolved. However, the designation of first and last is different. As God states in Isaiah in numerous places, he is the first and the last. But the Christian Scriptures reveal that before his coming to the earth Jesus was the first creation of God. Revelation 3:14 confirms that Jesus was “the beginning of the creation by God.” In his letter to the Colossians Paul explained that not only was Jesus the firstborn of all creation, but he was first in all things; first born among many brothers, meaning he was the first person to be born again as part of the new creation; he was also the firstborn from the dead to attain to immortal life.

He is the last in the sense that his special place in God’s arrangement will never be surpassed by another. Jesus encompasses all of God’s purpose now and forever. With the exception of Jehovah himself, Jesus is the Alpha and the Omega, the Beginning and the End —the First and the Last.

[You Tube video](#)

QUESTION #10 – In your Kingdom Interlinear Translation of John 14:14, it shows the word ‘me’ in the original text that is missing. A word for word literal translation would be something like, “if ever anything you should ask me in the name of me, this shall I do.” So if translated correctly, it means we can pray to Jesus himself in his name. If we can pray to Jesus himself in his own name, who does that make Jesus?

ANSWER – A word-for-word translation is not really a translation. It is a transliteration. And as anyone knows who bothers to read a transliteration some passages are difficult to understand, assuming one does not know Greek. The task of a translator is to make sense of the original language by rendering it in the best possible way to convey the intended meaning.

Obviously the transliteration of John 14:14 is very awkward; “If ever anything you should ask me in the name of me.” That is why even trinitarian Bible producers have translated the verse the same way as the NWT. For example, the *King James Version* says: **“If ye shall ask any thing in my name, I will do it.”**

It is rather pathetic that a person would advocate using a transliteration instead of a properly translated version, even a version published by trinitarians. It shows the perversity of those who will use any means possible to create a misunderstanding in someone who may be uncertain. How true the words of the inspired apostle, who wrote of Paul’s letters: **“He writes the same way in all his letters, speaking in them of these matters. His letters contain some things that are hard to understand, which ignorant and unstable people distort, as they do the other Scriptures, to their own destruction.”** —2 Peter 3:16 NIV

QUESTION #11 – Stephen prayed to Jesus in Acts 7:59. Your translation diluted the meaning of the text for obvious reasons. However, we know it means prayer because the Watch Tower

admitted this in their 1950 version of Acts 7:59. Also, common sense tells us that it is a prayer since Jesus was nowhere near Stephen during his death and he said 'receive my spirit'. If Jesus can be prayed to, who does this mean he is then?

ANSWER – Stephen did not pray to Jesus. This is a vivid demonstration of how ignorant and unstable people distort the Scriptures. Just as a card trickster tries to divert the attention of the observer so they will be less likely to notice the sleight-of-hand trick, the trinitarian tries to draw your attention to a single word, to the neglect of the context.

The trick is to try to get you to not reason on the Scriptures or make informed judgments. But a simple reading of the context reveals that Stephen had a miraculous vision — an epiphany. He saw the heavens open up and he saw the Son of man standing at the right hand of God. When Stephen announced his vision the maddened Jews began stoning him to death, at which point Stephen spoke to Jesus and asked him to receive his spirit.

Obviously very few people have ever seen either Christ or Jehovah in vision. One of the few who had, other than Stephen, was John. The entire Revelation was a vision John had while he was a prisoner on the island of Patmos. John spoke to Jesus in heaven —in the vision. Was he praying to him? No. He was responding to the vision —participating in it.

Neither was Stephen praying to Jesus. He was witnessing to the Jews regarding Christ's resurrection and ascension to heaven. And since Jehovah had made Jesus the resurrection and the life —meaning he has been given the keys of death and the Grave —it was most appropriate for Stephen to appeal to Jesus to receive his spirit.

Ironically, the very last thing Jesus said before he expired was, “Into your hands I entrust my spirit.” Of course, no trinitarian will acknowledge that Christ appealed to Jehovah to restore his life. But that is what he did.

The fact that Stephen was a participant in a supernatural vision is lost on the trinitarian. They would have you believe that Stephen and all the early Christians routinely prayed to Jesus —not his Father. But needless to say, if anyone today experienced a rapturous vision of Jehovah and Christ in heaven at the very moment they were being executed, more than likely they would speak to Jesus — even appeal to him for salvation. Of course, it is safe to assume that no scripture-twisting trickster will ever be in that position.

***QUESTION #12** – According to Revelation 5:13-14, ‘the One’ (God the Father) and the Lamb (Jesus) are both worshipped at the same time. The Bible is clear that only the one true God may be worshipped. How then can the Lamb (Jesus) be worshipped if he is not God?*

ANSWER – Some might wonder why trinitarians go to such lengths to prop up a doctrine that is nonsensical to its core and has not a shred of scriptural support. There is a very good reason. The Father of the lie, the Devil, the original Slanderer of God, does not want people to understand or appreciate the fact that Jehovah has exalted Jesus and given him his throne as a reward for Jesus’ absolute subservience and loyalty. That is what the trinity is all about — denying Jesus’ obedience and Jehovah’s generosity. And it has a powerful liar, even a god, who pushes it.

From the very beginning in Eden the Devil accused God of not trusting his creatures. Later in the case of Job the Slanderer just came out and said it, accusing God of bribing his creatures with goodies in order to win their loyalty. Satan’s contention was that if God took it all away that any man would deny God to save his neck. By his sacrificial course Jesus proved that the Devil is a liar.

And to further demonstrate that Satan is an outrageous liar Jehovah not only brought Jesus back to life, he exalted him to be his virtual equal —giving Jesus life in himself, which is something that the Firstborn son did not possess in the beginning. That is why Jesus said: **“For just as the Father has life in himself, so he has granted also to the Son to have life in himself. And he has given him authority to do judging, because he is the Son of man.”**

Anyone who is not bent on pushing the Devil’s lie can readily see that Jesus plainly declared that God granted the Son to have immortality and he gave Jesus authority to judge. Nobody gives God life or authority. He has always possessed such. But by giving Christ immortality and indestructibility Jesus no longer needs God for anything. Besides that, God has given him everything, entrusting his very throne to the Son. There is nothing that Jehovah has held back, including the honor and glory that is given to God himself, contrary to what the Devil lyingly told poor Eve.

So, in his madness the Devil has duped the masses of the untaught to believe that Jesus is God, that he received nothing from God, that he has always been God’s equal. Truly, Satan is a masterful liar —the best there is.

As regards the verse in question, it should be understood that there are two separate entities pictured in the vision. “The One sitting on the throne” is obviously Jehovah God, the King of eternity. And then there is the Lamb in the midst of the throne. It should be evident to all but the most perverse that Jesus is the Lamb. But, equally evident is the fact that Jesus has not always been the Lamb. He became the sacrificial lamb of God when he was baptized by John. And John then introduced Jesus to the Jews as **“the Lamb of God that takes away the sin of the world.”** —John 1:29

After Jesus was sacrificed as a blameless and innocent lamb, Jehovah resurrected him into the spirit world and exalted him to his right hand. And as Paul stated

every knee will bend before him at some point in the future, including those in heaven.

Keep in mind that although John was given the Revelation almost 2,000 years ago, the vision itself is about the future —when Jesus begins ruling as the King. That is what the 5th chapter is Revelation is portraying. Call it the coronation of the King. That is why the 24 elders and all the angels are assembled around the throne —to hail the new King. And the vision focuses on the Lamb receiving the scroll and opening the seven seals of the scroll containing God’s judgments. In fact, it is at the very moment the Lamb receives the scroll that the elders and four living creatures fall down before him. Revelation states: **“When he took the scroll, the four living creatures and the 24 elders fell down before the Lamb, and each one had a harp and golden bowls that were full of incense. (The incense means the prayers of the holy ones.) And they sing a new song, saying: “You are worthy to take the scroll and open its seals, for you were slaughtered and with your blood you bought people for God out of every tribe and tongue and people and nation, and you made them to be a kingdom and priests to our God, and they are to rule as kings over the earth.”**

The point is, the Lamb has not always occupied such an exalted place, being intimately connected to the very worship of Jehovah. That is why the elders are singing a new song. Jesus coming to power and wielding all authority in heaven and over the earth is something new. That is why all the angels declared in the vision: **“The Lamb who was slaughtered is worthy to receive the power and riches and wisdom and strength and honor and glory and blessing.”**

Although the trinitarian would have you believe that the Lamb is God and always has been worshipped, the angels give witness that the Lamb is worthy of the highest honors because he willingly allowed himself to be slaughtered.

So, the question is, who are you going to believe, God's loyal angels or a trinitarian?

***QUESTION #13** – According to Revelation 1:7-8, Jesus is called the Alpha and Omega, Jehovah God, and the Almighty. We know it is Jesus because in verse 7 it says he was pierced. Jesus was the one that was pierced. Also, it says “he is coming” which means the one who was pierced (Jesus) is coming. Then in verse 8 it says Jehovah is coming. So the text is about the same subject (Jesus/Jehovah) who is coming. This can easily be proven by comparing to Revelation 22:13. Notice how the entire context around Revelation 22:13 is about Jesus. Jesus here identifies himself as the ‘Alpha and Omega’. So how do you explain this? Are Jehovah and Jesus both coming and are both ‘Alpha and Omega’?*

ANSWER – The opening chapter of Revelation is an introduction. The very first verse explains that it is a message that God gave to Jesus to show his slaves the things that must take place. Again in the second verse John makes a distinction between God and Jesus. Then in the apostle's greetings to the seven congregations he says: **“May you have undeserved kindness and peace from “the One who is and who was and who is coming,” and from the seven spirits that are before his throne, and from Jesus Christ, “the Faithful Witness,” “the firstborn from the dead,” and “the Ruler of the kings of the earth.”**

A careful reading of the passage above indicates that “the One who is and who was and who is coming” is not Jesus, but Jehovah. That is why John went on to introduce Jesus by saying “and,” meaning someone other than the One who is coming. And “the One who is coming” is Almighty God, “the Alpha and Omega,” not Jesus. Of course, Jesus is coming—but it is in the name of Jehovah. And Jesus

is also the beginning and the end, but for different reasons. ([Is Jesus the Alpha And Omega?](#))

QUESTION #14 – The Jews picked up stones to kill Jesus because of blasphemy (John 10:30-33). Who was Jesus claiming to be that caused such a reaction? If he was claiming to be a false little ‘g’ god, as the NWT renders it, how could he even be blaspheming?

The questioner demonstrates an astonishing lack of comprehension. Jesus did not claim to be God. The Jews falsely accused him of making himself a god. The setting was, the Jews surrounded Jesus in the temple and demanded to know if he was the Christ. They did not ask if he was God. But Jesus refused to tell them he was Christ, saying: **“I told you, and yet you do not believe. The works that I am doing in my Father’s name, these bear witness about me. But you do not believe, because you are not my sheep. My sheep listen to my voice, and I know them, and they follow me. I give them everlasting life, and they will by no means ever be destroyed, and no one will snatch them out of my hand. What my Father has given me is something greater than all other things, and no one can snatch them out of the hand of the Father. I and the Father are one.”**

It was Jesus’ rebuke of them, telling the Jews that they were not his sheep and that he was one with the Father that incited them to attempted murder. But Jesus countered their false accusation that he was making himself a god by saying to them: **“Is it not written in your Law, ‘I said: “You are gods”’? If he called ‘gods’ those against whom the word of God came—and yet the scripture cannot be nullified— do you say to me whom the Father sanctified and sent into the world, ‘You blaspheme,’ because I said, ‘I am God’s Son’?”**

Jesus set them straight, saying he was God’s Son, not God.

Amazingly, the trinitarians cite the Jews as if they were honest witnesses in the matter, when in fact Jesus had already condemned them as the Devil's spawn. The Jews lyingly claimed Jesus was making himself equal to God, just as their trinitarian counterparts do today.

QUESTION #15 – According to 1 Timothy 3:16, ‘He’ was made manifest in the flesh. By considering verse 15, we can see that God is the subject immediately prior to verse 16. So it would make sense that verse 16 is referring to God. The Watch Tower has even admitted that this was referring to God who was made manifest in the flesh (eg. Watchtower July 1879, Watchtower June 1880. There are also other manuscripts that actually contain the word ‘God’ in verse 16. Therefore, do you agree that God was made manifest in the flesh? If so, who would this make Jesus Christ?

ANSWER – Again, it appears as if trinitarians lack basic reading comprehension skills. The pronoun “he” is not in reference to God, but to the one who demonstrated true godliness and reverence towards God.

Here is what the NIV says: **“Beyond all question, the mystery from which true godliness springs is great: He appeared in the flesh, was vindicated by the Spirit, was seen by angels, was preached among the nations, was believed on in the world, was taken up in glory.”**

In context Paul was giving instructions for appointing overseers and ministerial servants and for the women in the congregation. And then the concluding reference to Jesus was to underscore that Christ set the perfect example of true godly devotion for everyone to imitate. Surely, no rational person would suppose that God worships himself and then recommends his devotion to himself as an example for others to follow.

Jehovah's Witnesses should appreciate their privilege to know the truth about the true relationship between Jehovah and Jesus. The twisted reasonings of trinitarians only underscores how precious the truth is.

QUESTION #17 – According to Revelation 22:6, Jehovah sent his angel. However, several verses later in the same chapter at Revelation 22:16, it says Jesus sent his angel. How can this be true unless Jesus is Jehovah?

Jehovah's Witnesses believe that Jehovah has given Jesus all authority, in heaven and on earth. That means Jesus has been given authority over Jehovah's angels. They follow his commands as if he was Jehovah.

QUESTION # 18 – If Jesus became Michael the Archangel after his death as a human, why is he still referred to as Jesus from Acts onward?

Jesus has had a unique and extraordinary existence. For unknown eons the person who became Jesus lived in heaven prior to his becoming human. He was not known as Jesus then. That should be evident from the fact that the name Jesus literally means "Jehovah is salvation." Needless to say, the Word was in existence long before mankind even existed or came to be in need of salvation.

Since God himself and every person and every angel has a personal name, obviously the Word had a personal name too. There are many reasons to believe that his original name was Michael.

When Jesus gave his life and was then resurrected and ascended back to heaven he resumed life as Michael, the foremost angel. However, he also retained his earthly name as well. That should not be considered odd or unusual given the fact that

Jesus has lived in two completely different realms of existence —human and spirit. But it is what Jesus did as a human that is the basis for our having a relationship with him now.

For example, Jesus' kingship derives from the fact that he was an heir to the throne of David. And his having been born again as the first person anointed by God is the basis for Jesus becoming a spirit. And his title to the throne of Israel transfers with him.

After all, it is as Jesus said to Nicodemus in the 3rd chapter of John, “what is flesh is flesh and what is spirit is spirit.” In other words, humans made of flesh and blood have no ability to transcend into spirits. The notion that humans have a spirit that survives death is the stuff of myth —the foundational lie of virtually all demon religion. The point is, had Jesus not been begotten by holy spirit to become a new creation he could not have returned to heaven. So, it is most appropriate that the Word retain his earthly name since that defines his identity now.

QUESTION # 19 – If Jesus became Michael the Archangel after his death as a human, did Jesus lie when he said in Revelation 22:16 that his name is Jesus?

See previous answer.

QUESTION #20 – If Jesus is now Michael the Archangel, why is it that you pray to God in the name of Jesus and not in the name of Michael?

See previous answer.

QUESTION #21 – Since you believe the man Jesus ceased to exist and he became Michael the Archangel, wouldn't you be in an unsaved condition since the Bible clearly says there is no name other than Jesus by which we must be saved (Acts 4:12)?

No untaught churchgoer can possibly hope to comprehend the deep things of the truth. But the truth is, as mentioned already, Jesus was born again. No trinitarian can comprehend this, but Jesus was the very first person to undergo the anointing by the holy spirit. That is why in the eighth chapter of Romans Paul referred to **Jesus as the “firstborn of many brothers.”** His “brothers” being those who are also anointed and adopted as sons of God.

Upon Jesus' death he ceased to exist as a living, breathing man. Upon his resurrection he became a spirit. That is because he had been born again prior to his death. The man Jesus, the flesh and blood body was sacrificed to God. It is gone, forever, as payment for our sins. Jesus did not come back to life as a man. But, Jehovah's Witnesses believe that Jesus' sacrificial course as a man provided the ransom, and our faith in his life's course, death and subsequent resurrection, is the basis for salvation. The fact that Jesus resumed his former name of Michael is irrelevant.

QUESTION #22 – If Jesus is Michael the Archangel, then wouldn't Jehovah also need to be an archangel in order for Hebrews 1:3 to be true?

Trinitarianism is the epitome of stupidity. Trinitarians believe that there is a father and son who do not have anything remotely similar to a father/son relationship. It is a mystery why they even pretend to be Father and Son. Although the Scriptures reveal that Jesus had a prehuman existence and was the very first creation of God,

trinitarians claim he was uncreated. Truly, the stupidity of trinitarianism is simply staggering.

The fact is, all of the angels are sons of God. Like the original humans, angels are made in God's image too —only of a much higher order than flesh. But Jehovah is their Father, one and all. However, the Firstborn is exactly like Jehovah. He is the Son of God's love —his favorite, if you prefer. When Jesus was on earth he indicated the extent of his closeness to the Father when he said **“All things have been handed over to me by my Father, and no one fully knows the Son except the Father; neither does anyone fully know the Father except the Son and anyone to whom the Son is willing to reveal him.”**

In the darkened mind of a trinitarian Jesus must be playing some sort of shell game, pretending to be a son who intimately knows God, when in fact he is nothing of the sort. He is God, who only pretends not to be.

QUESTION #23 – If Jesus is Michael the Archangel, why did God command in Hebrews 1:6 that ALL the angels worship (do obeisance) him?

As the context of Hebrews indicates the command for all of God's angels to bow before the Son takes place at Christ's second coming. Before that event the angels are not required to worship him. Interestingly, Revelation the 12th chapter depicts Michael and his angels battling with Satan and his angels. Notably, too, that battle erupts when the Kingdom of God comes to power. Elsewhere, though, the angels are shown to be under the command of Jesus. So, whose angels are they — Michael's or Jesus'? There is no question that it is Jesus who leads the war against Satan. It is unthinkable that anyone other than Jesus would do so since he is destined to crush the serpent. But, there is Michael leading the charge. It requires some intelligence to make the connection.

But, Jehovah does not command the angels to bow before Michael. They are commanded to do obeisance before Jesus Christ for the reason that Jehovah decreed that his Son should be so honored for having obeyed God without reservation. True, Michael and Jesus are the same person, but he is honored for what he did as a human.

QUESTION #24 – If Jesus is Michael the Archangel, why does Hebrews 1:13 say “about which of the angels...”?

Trinitarians possess an impenetrable denseness of mind. In their folly they would attribute their own foolishness to God, imagining that since God did not speak those words to any angel he therefore had to be speaking to himself, proudly declaring himself to be his own son and then inviting his son-self to sit beside himself until he places all of his enemies as a stool for his own feet. Even though they apparently read the Scriptures looking for bits and pieces to prove their lie, it is simply beyond the grasp of a trinitarian that Jehovah did not specifically honor any angel by declaring him to be his son, but he did honor a man in such a way. The reason that is extraordinary is because, as Paul said, humans are by order of rank a little lower than the angels. So, Jehovah elevated a man above the angels. A trinitarian can never understand this.

QUESTION #25 - If Jesus is Michael the Archangel, why does Hebrews 2:5 say that it is not to angels that God has subjected the Earth to come, but just a few verses later at Hebrews 2:8, it says that ALL THINGS have been subjected under Jesus?

Again, the empty-headedness of trinitarians is on full display. They exhibit a profound and utter cluelessness about the matters they pretend to know.

When God created Adam and Eve he essentially bequeathed the custody of the entire earth to them and their offspring, saying to them ‘have in subjection the fish of the sea and flying creatures and every living thing, etc.’ Of course, after Adam and Eve sinned against God they were no longer qualified to fill the earth and subdue it. That is why Paul noted, that **“now, though, we do not yet see all things in subjection to him. But we do see Jesus, who was made a little lower than angels, now crowned with glory and honor for having suffered death, so that by God’s undeserved kindness he might taste death for everyone.”**

As the second perfect man, the last Adam, and being related to Adam via Mary, his birth mother, Jesus inherited the right to fill the earth and subdue it, which no imperfect human could accomplish. However, it was not Jehovah’s will that he do so as a man. And for a fact Jesus is no longer in a state of existence lower than the angels. But, as mentioned already, even though Jesus sacrificed his flesh he retains the rights he acquired as a human —when he was a little lower than the angels. Thus, as a glorified spirit Jesus is still entitled to subdue the earth and this he will do when he comes in the glory of his Father and assumes regency over the earth.

QUESTION #26 – If 1 Thessalonians 4:16 proves Jesus is Michael the Archangel simply because Jesus comes with the voice of an Archangel, doesn’t this also mean he is a trumpet because he comes with God’s trumpet?

“By wisdom the shrewd man understands the way he is going, but the stupid are deceived by their foolishness.” —Proverbs 14:8

QUESTION #27 – If Jesus is Michael the Archangel, how could all things have been created for him and through him (Colossians 1:16)?

Leave it to the trinitarian to explain how God is the Firstborn of all creation. It is at the very least amusing.

[Related article on the Michael the Archangel/Jesus connection](#)

[And, Is Jesus Michael the Archangel?](#)

QUESTION #28 – If Jehovah is a spirit who only lives in heaven and who cannot ever be seen, how is it possible for him to reside in Jerusalem later (Zechariah 2:10-11)?

It is not a question of “if” Jehovah is a spirit. Of course he is. Only the most ignorant and untaught person would suppose otherwise. In a conversation with a Samaritan woman Jesus stated very plainly: **“God is a Spirit, and those worshipping him must worship with spirit and truth.”** —John 4:24

Furthermore, in his introductory remarks to the gospel bearing his name, the apostle John wrote: **“No man has seen God at any time; the only-begotten god who is at the Father’s side is the one who has explained Him.”**

Pretty simple. The problem is, at least for them, trinitarians refuse to believe the Bible. Not only do they not understand the simple and straightforward truth, but they take symbolism literally. That is because they have no regard for truth and apparently are even lacking in basic intelligence.

Take for example the account of God’s dealings with the first man. The Genesis record states that God strolled around in the garden about the breezy part of the day and Adam and Eve went into hiding away from the face of Jehovah. Now, the unintelligent person will probably suppose that Adam and Eve could literally see Jehovah, otherwise why does it say that they hid from his face? But, of course, the

inspired apostle clearly stated that no man has seen God at any time. And the truth does not contradict itself. So, we can rule out any sort of literal appearance.

Obviously God was not visibly present in Eden. And it should be equally obvious—at least to intelligent persons—that when the Scriptures speak of God being in the midst of his people it is in a spiritual sense—the same as it was in the beginning before Adam and Eve ruined their relationship with God.

QUESTION #29 – If Jehovah is a spirit who only lives in heaven and who cannot ever be seen, how is it possible for him to stand on the Mount of Olives later (Zechariah 14:3-4)?

It is amusing that the questioner considers this a “JW lie.” Perhaps the most appropriate question to pose to them is, if God is a Rock how is it possible for him to move around?

QUESTION #30 – How do you explain the cross reference connecting Zechariah 14:3-4 which says Jehovah will come and stand on the Mount of Olives to Acts 1:12 which says Jesus is coming back to the Mount of Olives?

It is beyond the grasp of a trinitarian that Jesus has been given authority by his Father—that Jesus acts in behalf of Jehovah. Yet, over and over again Jesus said that very thing. As stated already, a trinitarian simply does not believe in God. They claim they do, but they really don't. Perhaps to avoid the stigma of being labeled as a godless atheist they claim belief in the most nonsensical thing imaginable—That the Father and the Son have no father/son relationship.

The Mount of Olives is where Jesus spoke to his disciples about his return and the end of this wicked world. Then 40 days after his resurrection from the dead Jesus met with his followers one last time. And after giving them final instructions to wait in Jerusalem for the spirit Jesus was levitated skyward and taken from their view. And at that very moment two angels stood in their midst and said: **“Men of Galilee, why do you stand looking into the sky? This Jesus who was taken up from you into the sky will come in the same manner as you have seen him going into the sky.”** – Acts 1

Apparently Jesus’ ascension was from the Mount of Olives, where he had earlier spoken about his return. That is evident from the very next verse, which states: **“Then they returned to Jerusalem from a mountain called the Mount of Olives, which is near Jerusalem, only a sabbath day’s journey away.”**

Ever since Jesus was taken up his followers have been waiting for his return. But is he literally coming back to the geographical spot in the Middle East where he stood some-2,000 years ago? Naturally, persons who have no understanding of anything would assume so.

But persons who have been enlightened know that the modern city of Jerusalem in the state of Israel has nothing whatsoever to do with the fulfillment of Bible prophecy. The “Jerusalem” over which God is destined to fight has to do with the Kingdom. Jerusalem is merely a symbol. It symbolizes Jehovah’s Kingdom, since the kingdom of David was originally established with its headquarters in Jerusalem. And as a descendent and heir of David’s throne Jesus also came to Jerusalem, where he was hailed as the King of Israel. And the original 120 disciples were anointed in an upper room in the city of Jerusalem.

Jerusalem is a fitting symbol for the established Kingdom, when all of the remaining members of the Kingdom are finally chosen and sealed. That is when the nations are drawn into fighting against God. At that point Christ is said to come down and stand upon the Mount of Olives. It is not, however, a literal location, but rather a situation. That is when all the enemies of Jehovah and Christ will be slaughtered, as verse 12 goes on to relate: **“And this is the scourge with which Jehovah will scourge all the peoples who wage war against Jerusalem: Their flesh will rot away while they stand on their feet, their eyes will rot away in their sockets, and their tongues will rot away in their mouths.”**

Question #31: If the Holy Spirit is only Jehovah’s active force, why does the Holy Spirit speak and use the pronouns “I” and “me” about himself in places like [Acts 13:2](#) and [Hebrews 3:7-11](#)?

It is a literary device called personification. Various things are personified in the Bible. For example, the 8th chapter of Proverbs personifies wisdom. In the 5th chapter of Romans Paul refers to death as a king who has ruled over mankind since Adam and Eve first sinned. Israel is referred to as God’s woman —his wife. The congregation of Christ is pictured as his bride, etc.

It is especially appropriate for the spirit to be personified since it only acts in behalf of the person of God. It speaks for God —projects his thoughts and will. Jesus referred to the spirit as God’s “finger.” In other words, it is an extension of himself —the means by which God accomplishes his work.

Just because Trinitarians capitalize Holy Spirit does not make it a proper name. God’s spirit, although at times is personified, is more often spoken of as a force

emanating from God. In fact, it is spoken of as belonging to God —God’s spirit. For example, in the 31st chapter of Exodus we read: **“I will fill him with the spirit of God, giving him wisdom, understanding, and knowledge of every kind of craftsmanship...”**

If the holy spirit were another person why would God refer to it as “the spirit of God”? Is not God also a spirit? He surely is. Jesus once told a certain Samaritan woman very simply that “God is a spirit.”

Question #32: If the Holy Spirit is an impersonal active force, how can it be blasphemed (Matt. 12:31)? How can it be grieved (Eph. 4:30)? How can it have a will (1 Cor. 12:11)? How can it come to know something (1 Cor. 2:11)? How can it teach and cause someone to remember (John 14:26)? How can it tell someone what to do (Acts 8:29; 13:2)? How can it plead for us (Rom. 8:26)?

The only connection between God, the Great Spirit, and man, is God’s spirit. Obviously, God resides in the spirit dimension. A world inaccessible by humans. Jehovah cannot directly interact with humans. And that’s a good thing. We would literally be blown away. So, when God manifests himself in the physical realm it is by means of his spirit.

For example, when the holy spirit began to be used to anoint Christians in the first century it was accompanied with an obvious display of divine backing. On the day of Pentecost tongues of fire hovered over the heads of 120 disciples of Jesus. The spirit also impelled them to begin speaking intelligibly in foreign languages they previously had not known.

Two persons who were anointed at that time were Ananias and his wife Sapphira. They clearly saw the evidence of God’s presence among them and the operation of

the spirit upon themselves. In spite of that they allowed Satan to tempt them into denying the work of the spirit by secretly holding back part of their funds which they pretended to donate in full. They imagined the spirit could not detect their deviousness. As a result the spirit moved the apostle Peter to pronounce death upon them both. In doing so the apostle said they had lied to the holy spirit. In other words, they blasphemed the spirit that they had seen in operation upon themselves and other Christians.

That the holy spirit is under the direction of Jehovah God and does not act as some free-wheeling third person of a triune is evident in hundreds of ways. Consider what is stated in the 104th Psalm: **“If you send out your spirit, they are created, and you renew the surface of the ground. The glory of Jehovah will last forever. Jehovah will rejoice in his works.”**

If the imaginary third person of the trinity is his own God, why then is “he” under command of Jehovah God? Why, for example, do we not read that the Holy Spirit orders Jehovah around and sends Jehovah out to accomplish tasks? The answer is simple. But to accept the simple truth requires an honesty of heart that trinitarians simply do not possess.

Question #33: The Watchtower claims that the Holy Spirit is like electricity and fire and therefore not alive. Since this is the case, shouldn't you also believe that Jehovah is not alive since he is also a Spirit (John 4:24, 2 Corinthians 3:17) and he is described as “a consuming fire” (Heb. 12:29)?

Rather than give up their lie that God and Christ and the Holy Spirit comprise an incomprehensible conglomeration of three gods in one, apparently trinitarian cultists would prefer to declare Jehovah to be non-existent. And for a fact, that is the underlying intent.

As already explained, the spirit is an extension of God. Jehovah is called the Living God. No one possesses life that did not originate with the Living One. Because Jehovah is the source of life he can give life. And he does so by means of his spirit. It is the spirit which God breathed into the nostrils of the first man, which animated him into becoming a living soul. The spirit can also make us alive spiritually. God's spirit can re-animate the dead, what is called a resurrection. So, while the spirit itself is not a living person, it is the power of the Ever-living God and can impart life from him.

Question #34: In Acts 5:3-4, we can see that in verse 3 Ananias lied to the Holy Spirit but then in verse 4 it says Ananias lied to God. Ignoring the direct correlation between God and the Holy Spirit, if the Holy Spirit is not a person and is just God's active force, how can it even be lied to?

As already explained, the holy spirit is the connection between God and man. The spirit speaks for God. So, when two anointed Christians acted contrary to the undeniable manifestation of God's presence in their midst via the holy spirit, it was as if Ananias and Sapphires lied to God's face.

Question #35: How do you explain the direct correlation made between the Holy Spirit and Jehovah made at Acts 28:25-27 and Isaiah 6:9-10? There is even a cross reference between the two passages in your own Bible. In Acts it says the Holy Spirit spoke, but in Isaiah it says Jehovah spoke.

Trinitarians read the Bible, not to comprehend the truth, but to seek a lie. They scour the Scriptures to stitch together bits and pieces of scattered verses to deny Jehovah and his true relationship with Jesus. Trinitarians will go to any length to glorify Babylon's mystical triad. The simple truth alludes them for the reason that they are the modern counterpart of the Jews of Jesus' day. The Jews saw the clear

evidence of God's spirit in action, through Jesus. They saw Christ heal lame and maimed people. They heard the reports circulating that he even opened the eyes of the blind and raised the dead. They were unmoved.

Instead of accepting Jesus as the one sent by God they accused him of using witchcraft to deceive the people. In doing so they blasphemed the holy spirit that Jesus was using to accomplish God's work. As Jesus said of them they were from their father, the Devil. They wanted to do the work of Satan, not Jehovah.

Trinitarians display the same spirit. They hate the truth. Has there ever been a war in which trinitarians did not participate and slaughter their fellowman? I challenge a trinitarian to name one.

Take John Calvin for example, considered to be one of the great "reformers." He had fled persecution in France and went to Switzerland. But when Michael Servetus fled Catholic persecution in Spain and arrived in Switzerland too, and spoke of his conviction that the trinity was false, Calvin betrayed him and watched as Servetus was burned at the stake.

Truly, as the apostle John stated, that is how you distinguish the sons of God from the sons of the wicked one. The sons of the wicked one are murderers.

Question #36: How do you explain the direct correlation made between the Holy Spirit and Jehovah made at [Hebrews 3:7-11](#) and [Psalms 95:7-11](#)? There are multiple footnotes between the two passages in the NWT. In Hebrews it says the Holy Spirit spoke, but in Psalms it says Jehovah spoke.

As should be evident, trinitarians simply cannot accept the simple truth that God uses his spirit to accomplish his will; be it creation, destroying his enemies, conveying messages and dreams and anointing those whom he adopts.

Question #37: Why is blaspheming the Holy Spirit the only unforgivable sin according to the Bible (Mark 3:29)? We can blaspheme Jehovah and do all types of evil, but be forgiven. However, if we blaspheme an un-living thing similar to fire, we will never be forgiven, right?

As has already been pointed out, the holy spirit accomplishes God's work. When that work is obvious and not subtle, such as when Christ performed healings and resurrections, and when the holy spirit caused Jesus' disciples to speak in tongues, then denying that manifestation is considered blasphemy against the holy spirit. It is unforgivable because it is simply inexcusable. Whereas, a person who is ignorant may speak against Jehovah and Christ, their blasphemy is forgivable because they speak in ignorance. Not so when persons see the undeniable activity of God and yet deny its source.

Question #38: Why does your Bible render the word 'proskuneo' as 'obeisance' in regards to Jesus (eg. Matthew 2:8,11), but as 'worship' in regards to Jehovah and even Satan (eg. Matthew 4:9-10)?

Obeisance and worship are pretty much interchangeable terms, but can have slightly different connotations depending on the context.

According to the [NAS lexicon](#) the Greek word proskuneo literally means "to kiss the hand to (towards) one, in token of reverence among the Orientals, especially the Persians, to fall upon the knees and touch the ground with the forehead as an expression of profound reverence. In the NT by kneeling or prostration to do

homage (to one) or make obeisance, whether in order to express respect or to make supplication used of homage shown to men and beings of superior rank, to the Jewish high priests, to God to Christ, to heavenly beings, to demons.”

So, in the case of the magi who came from Persia following the moving “star,” did those astrologers imagine that Jesus was God or even a god? Is that why they bowed down before the infant? No. The account says they inquired of Herod, saying: **“Where is the one born king of the Jews? For we saw his star when we were in the East, and we have come to do obeisance to him.”**

Perhaps as a result of their familiarity with the writings of Daniel, since he was a prominent ruler in Persia a few centuries before, the astrologers apparently recognized that the Jews were in expectation of the coming of a great king. Unlike the deluded trinitarians, those Persian stargazers did not believe the new born Jesus was God. They rightly recognized him as one destined to become the king of the Jews. For that reason they bowed to the infant, as they would to any other ruler or royal offspring.

And, of course, the Jews who bowed before Jesus were not imagining he was God either. They bowed before him because they wished to acknowledge that Jesus was sent by God, that he was the promised Messiah.

However, it is worth noting that at some point in the future God will require everyone, angels included, to worship the Son. That will be when Christ returns as the King, not just of the Jews, but of the world. Paul explained: **“But when he again brings his Firstborn into the inhabited earth, he says: ‘And let all of God’s angels do obeisance to him.’”**

Or, if you prefer the King James, Hebrews 1:6 states: **“And again, when he bringeth in the firstbegotten into the world, he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him.”**

Obeisance, worship, whatever, the point is, God commands all creation to worship the Son, not because the Son is God, but because it pleases the Father to bestow such honor upon the Son. Furthermore, the command to worship the Son is not given until God brings his Firstborn into the world again; meaning, the Second Coming.

Jehovah, on the other hand has always received worship, even as Jesus told the Devil in response to Satan’s temptation to worship him; Jesus saying: **“Go away, Satan! For it is written: ‘It is Jehovah your God you must worship, and it is to him alone you must render sacred service.’”** No reasonable person would suppose that Jesus was referring to himself as the one “*alone*” to whom his own sacred service belonged.

Question #39: Why did your translators insert the word ‘Son’ at Acts 20:28 into the text even though it is nowhere to be found in the original text? Your original 1950 Version of Acts 20:28 included the word ‘Son’ in brackets, but your current 2013 Version of Acts 20:28 has no brackets or footnotes at all! If properly translated, this would mean God purchased with his OWN blood. Therefore, who would this mean Jesus Christ is?

It is not a problem of translation. It is the translators’ prerogative to insert words at their discretion in order to add clarity. The necessity of adding clarity is due to the fact that trinitarians have twisted the Scriptures to such an extent.

The simple fact is, trinitarians deny that Jesus is the Son of God. Because of that everything is skewed –distorted. Of course, trinitarians give lip service to the father

and son, but it is all a song and dance –a theological run-around. That’s because according to trinitarian dogma there is no father/son relationship between the Father and the Son. Jesus is God. God is God. Jesus was not born from a father. The Father did not give life to the son. Why do they even bother with the whole father/son revelation if no such relationship exists? No one can figure it out. It is a “mystery.”

In the real world, though, there is no mystery. Fathers and sons have a very different relationship. In the real world sons are born from fathers. In the real world it is impossible for a son to be as old as his father. Yet, obviously, fathers and sons are closely related. They have the same blood, literally. It is even a common saying that close relatives are “blood.” A father might say of his son, ‘he is my *own* flesh and blood.’

But no sane person would suppose that a son who is the same blood as his father is also the same person as his father, or that the son was not born from the father. Only in the trinitarian house of mirrors is the father and son relationship distorted into a grotesque mockery.

It is actually laughable that anyone would even suppose that the Almighty God who created the universe and even an invisible world that is far grander than the visible, somehow dissolved himself into a tiny human and was killed by men and then resurrected himself. Trinitarians have no understanding of the simple yet profound truth that Jesus was merely a perfect man. Jesus was made to correspond to the value of the blood of the perfect man, Adam. Providing a ransom did not require the death of God. It required the sacrifice of a perfect man, which is what Jesus was.

Besides, God cannot become human. It is impossible. One would rather try and wrap cellophane around the sun than shrink the Creator and wrap him in tissue-

thin flesh. It is blasphemous and absurd. Even more nonsensical is the suggestion that the immortal, Ever-living God could be killed by puny little, earthling grasshoppers.

The apostle John in his introduction to Jesus conveyed a profound truth, a truth that alludes trinitarian cultists. John wrote: **“So the Word became flesh and resided among us, and we had a view of his glory, a glory such as belongs to an only-begotten son from a father; and he was full of divine favor and truth...No man has seen God at any time; the only-begotten god who is at the Father’s side is the one who has explained Him.”** —John 1:14,18

Although trinitarians insist that Jesus was Almighty God, John, who was personally acquainted with Jesus, knew otherwise. He knew Jesus was God’s only-begotten Son, who existed at the Father’s side long before he became the man Jesus. Although not God Almighty, the Firstborn was in all respects like his Father, to the extent that he was a god himself. But since no man has personally ever seen Jehovah God, obviously Jesus, who was viewed by men, could not have been God himself; and of course, he never claimed to be.

As regards the blood, obviously Jesus gave his own blood in order to provide the legal basis for God to ransom sinful humanity. But since Jesus belongs to God, even as Paul stated at [1 Corinthians 3:23](#), it is not unreasonable that God would lay claim to the outpoured blood of his Son as his own.

Certainly whatever ambiguity may exist in connection with Paul’s statement in Acts becomes clear in other texts. For example, at 1 John 1:7 it is clearly evident that Jesus gave his blood in behalf of mankind for God. That verse says: **“However, if we are walking in the light as he himself is in the light, we do have**

fellowship with one another, and the blood of Jesus his Son cleanses us from all sin.”

Question #40: Why did the translators of your Bible conveniently fail to insert the word ‘Jehovah’ in Philippians 2:11, Romans 10:9, and 1 Corinthians 12:3?

I feel embarrassed for the questioner. He wants to be a teacher of Jehovah’s Witnesses, but like Paul said of the would-be-teachers of his day, so too trinitarians, who are **“wanting to be teachers of the law when they have no sense either of the things they say or of what it is they are being so positive about.”**—Byington 1 Tim 1:7

Surely, no one who honestly reads the immediate context of Philippians 2:11 would come to the conclusion that Jesus is God. That’s because it is plainly evident that Jesus is given the high honor of having his name placed above every other name by virtue of God’s magnanimity. The context states: **“For this very reason, God exalted him to a superior position and kindly gave him the name that is above every other name, so that in the name of Jesus every knee should bend—of those in heaven and those on earth and those under the ground—and every tongue should openly acknowledge that Jesus Christ is Lord to the glory of God the Father.”**

As already pointed out in previous questions, the intent of the trinity is to shove Jehovah entirely out of mind. The questioner exhibits that tendency to the full. But any honest-hearted seeker of truth can clearly see that Jesus is kindly given his position and authority by God. What rational person would assert that God kindly exalts himself to a superior position? How could the Supreme Being, the Almighty God, attain a superior position? Or how are we to understand the twisted

reasoning of a trinitarian, who would have us believe that Jehovah gives himself a name that is above every other name, when Jehovah is already the Most High God?

Apparently the trinitarian would have us believe that because God gave Jesus a name above every *other* name that the name and office of Jesus excels the name and authority of Jehovah. But to no avail. As the inspired apostle noted, the exaltation of Jesus results in glory to God the Father. If Jesus is exalted above Jehovah how could that possibly bring glory to God the Father? But, that is the sort of shell game trinitarians play.

The same distorted reasoning is employed in regards to Romans 10:9, which states: **“For if you publicly declare with your mouth that Jesus is Lord, and exercise faith in your heart that God raised him up from the dead, you will be saved. For with the heart one exercises faith for righteousness, but with the mouth one makes public declaration for salvation.”**

The trinitarian, in their fleshly mindedness, cannot comprehend spiritual matters. They read Jesus’ words about raising up the temple in three days and they believe Jesus resurrected himself. Like a dog with a bone it is impossible to get them to drop it. But Jesus did not bring himself back to life. The Scriptures make it plain that God raised Jesus from the dead and thereafter exalted him to a superior position as a reward for Jesus’ faithfulness.

The trinitarian evidently imagines that because Jesus has become Lord he is the same as the Sovereign Lord Jehovah. It is beyond their grasp that there are actually two lords, but one Lord is superior to the other. Ironically, the trinitarians’ preferred Bible makes this very point. Having sanitized all traces of the divine name from their Bibles Psalms 110 presents quite a challenge to the trinitarian, where it

states: **“The LORD said unto my Lord, ‘Sit Thou at My right hand, until I make Thine enemies Thy footstool.’”**

Having removed the YHWH rendering of the Father’s name the trinitarian is left to contemplate the undeniable fact that there are two lords. One Lord, the all caps LORD, is obviously the superior lord, since he commands the lesser Lord to sit at his right hand until a certain time when the lesser Lord is given all power and authority. The lesser Lord is Jesus Christ. The greater Lord is Jehovah. There is nothing the trinitarians can do about that. They used to burn Bibles but those days are over. The truth has prevailed.

Question #41: Why does JW artwork consistently show through all the years Jesus with a single nail through his wrist at the time of crucifixion? [John 20:25](#) says that more than one nail was used for his hands.

I cannot answer in this instance, as to why the Watchtower has portrayed Jesus with one nail through both hands. But the issue can be easily resolved if we use our imagination to picture Jesus hung upon an upright stake with arms stretched overhead, one hand nailed to one side of the pole and the other hand nailed to the other side of the stake. That would require two nails. One through each hand. Nails (plural) does not prove that the stake had a cross beam and that Jesus arms were extended horizontally.

The question of stake of cross has already been [considered here](#).

Question #42: How do you explain [Revelation 3:21](#) and [Revelation 22:1-4](#) where it says there is a single throne that belongs to both Jehovah and Jesus? Also, how do you explain the singular words ‘his’ and ‘him’ being used when both Jehovah and Jesus are the subject?

Revelation 3:21 states: **“To the one who conquers I will grant to sit down with me on my throne, just as I conquered and sat down with my Father on his throne.”**

Following this particular trinitarian’s “reasoning,” we would have to conclude that all of the holy ones are God. After all, they are destined to sit upon God’s throne along with Jesus. So instead of a 3-in-1 godhead we have a god composed of 144,003. Makes my head spin.

Again, it is simply beyond the trinitarian’s grasp that Jehovah temporarily gives his throne to his Son. But that is the truth. Jehovah has always sat as King. Jesus has not. Jesus’ becoming king is a reward for his having conquered the world. Same with those who are called to share with Christ. They receive the same reward provided they conquer the world, which, not coincidentally, means they must conquer the false doctrines of the trinitarians and other false Christians.

Interestingly, in the seventh chapter of Revelation the Lamb is portrayed as being in the midst of the throne —not on the throne. Although Christ is destined to rule as king he does not replace or supplant Jehovah. Instead he rules in behalf of God. Jesus said as much when he was on earth, revealing that he does nothing of his own initiative but that he only judges by the Father’s standards.

So, Christ’s kingdom is really God’s Kingdom, and it is called such; not because Jesus is God, but because he rules for God as deputy king and god. That is why the Lamb is depicted in the midst of the throne. He receives honors and even worship, but all worshippers will acknowledge that Jehovah is God. That is why the Scriptures refer to Jehovah becoming King when Christ’s Kingdom comes to power.

Question #43: How do you explain Revelation 5:6 and Revelation 5:13-14 which shows that God, Jesus, and God's Spirit as all receiving the same exact worship at the same exact time?

The questioner seems to have a reading comprehension problem. Revelation 22:1 clearly distinguishes the throne of God and the Lamb as two separate things. As pointed out already, Christ rules in behalf of Jehovah and exists in the midst of the throne, but ultimately the throne belongs to God. After all, it is called the Kingdom of God.

Question #44: Why do you make a big deal out of the invented name 'Jehovah' when your own organization admitted several times that nobody actually knows the true name of God?

Trinitarians make a big deal out of the supposed unknowableness of the exact spelling of YHWH. Their hypocrisy, however, is on full display due to the fact they proclaim Jesus to be God anyway. To a trinitarian YHWH, however one chooses to express it, is a non-factor. Hardly a week passes by that some deluded individual doesn't give voice to their ignorance on my YouTube channel or in an email, informing me that Jesus is Yahweh. It surely helps the trinitarians in their deception to remove all traces of the divine name, which their preferred translations are most accommodative in that respect.

Ironically, though, it is the trinitarians go-to-Bible, the King James Version published in 1611, which popularized the name of Jehovah in the English-speaking world. Although the translators of the king's Authorized Version only saw fit to render the YHWH as Jehovah in four places, it was enough. When Charles Taze

Russell began his ministry those earnest Bible students immediately discerned the distinction between Jehovah and Jesus and had the scriptures to back it up.

Over the course of the 20th century, as the work of the Watchtower expanded to cover all the English-speaking nations of the world, and many more besides, no doubt thousands of people from Protestant backgrounds who possessed King James Bibles had an eye-opening experience when Jehovah's Witnesses knocked at their door and invited the householder to go get their Bible and then proceeded to have them read Psalms 83:18.

Jehovah's Witnesses used the King James with such effect that it forced the trinitarians to "revise" the KJV and expunge the name Jehovah from the four places where it had been in the original version. Evidently, divine providence had originally overridden the translators' impulse to use LORD in all of the thousands of places where the YHWH appears in Hebrew. But it is too late for the trinitarians. The cat is out of the bag, so-to-speak. The name Jehovah is securely embedded in common usage in English, as well in dozens of iterations in the hundreds of languages and dialects spoken around the world. For better or for worse, Jehovah's Witnesses are now inseparably connected to the name of Jehovah.

But it is not Jehovah's Witnesses who "make a big deal" out of God's name. It is God himself. When God revealed himself to Moses and gave his law to the sons of Israel the YHWH appeared in the first three commandments that were engraved in stone by the very finger of God. The third command especially underscored the sacredness of God's name. It says: **"You must not take up the name of Jehovah your God in a worthless way, for Jehovah will not leave unpunished the one who takes up His name in a worthless way."**

Regardless of how the Hebrews may have uttered the divine name 3,500 years ago when Moses read the Law to them, it was surely impressed upon their minds that

the name of YHWH was sacred. Of course, centuries later superstitious Jews falsely reasoned the the name was too sacred to even be spoken, but obviously that was not God's command. YHWH was not to be disrespected, but not hidden in obscurity either.

Although the Hebrews may have left off speaking God's name, and "Christians" have followed that same tradition, God has not forgotten his name nor has he changed it. According to God's own declaration to Moses the name of YHWH is his memorial for generation after generation. (Exodus 3:19)

Even up to the world-ending final part of the days, the same God who declared his name to be YHWH has forewarned that the nations will have to know that I am Jehovah. Obviously, the nations that are destined to come under his judgment will not be forced to recognize that God's name is an unpronounceable grouping of consonants. Surely, the fact that Jehovah's Witnesses have made God's name known in a multiplicity of languages has lain the groundwork for the nations to be forced to know that he is Jehovah.

When the time comes for what the Scriptures refer to as the great and fear-inspiring day of Jehovah, we will let the trinitarians quibble with the Almighty about how his name ought best be pronounced.

Question #45: Can you prove that Jehovah is more accurate than another speculation for God's name such as 'Jahoveh' or 'Jahevoth'? Wouldn't these be more accurate considering verses like Revelation 19:1,3,4,6?

Yes. It can be proven that Jehovah is the best English rendering of YHWH. The reconstruction of YHWH with the proper vowels is not so mysterious. A little linguistics forensics can uncover the truth.

Consider the fact that the Hebrews originally took great pride in knowing God by his name. To honor God Hebrew parents often christened their children with names that incorporated the divine name in various ways. Several dozen Hebrew names were derived by fusing the first and first and second syllable of YHWH with other words. For example, here are a number of Hebrew names whose first syllable corresponds to the first syllable of the divine name: Je-coliah, Je-coniah, Je-daiah, and others.

Other Hebrew proper names integrated the first two syllables. Names such as the following: Je-ho-addah, Je-ho-addin, Je-ho-ahaz, Je-ho-ash, Je-ho-hanan, Je-hoi-achin, Je-hoi-da, Je-ho-ram, Je-ho-shabeath, Je-ho-shaphat, Je-ho-sheba, Je-ho-shua.

The etymology of the various Hebrew names above prove that the vowels associated with YH, or JH in English, are “e” and “o,” indicating that the first two syllables in English are: Je-ho.

Other Hebrew names used the last syllable of YHWH to form a suffix for a new name —names that end in “ah” or “iah.” And there are many. Here are just a few to illustrate the point: Hezek-iah, Jerem-iah, Zephan-iah.

The use of “iah” as a suffix indicates that the third syllable was pronounced with “a” sound. Putting it all together the English name Jehovah perfectly reflects the way the Hebrews spelled the word.

And although the Jehovah haters make a big deal out of the fact that Hebrew does not have a “J,” such persons hypocritically use every other Hebrew name spelled with a “J” in English; common names such as Joseph, Jacob, Joshua, etc.

As for the Greek expression Alleluia, or Hallelujah if you prefer, that expression means “praise Jah,” “Jah” being the shortened form of Jehovah. It is used in four places in the Hebrew. One place being Isaiah 12:2, which states: **“Look! God is my salvation. I will trust and feel no dread; for Jah Jehovah is my strength and my might, and he has become my salvation.”**

The construction of Jah or Yah in Hebrew, is derived from fusing the first consonant with the last syllable. By the way, the Hebrew prophet Elijah used the name Jah as a suffix. Eli, of course, is the shortened form of Elohim, which in Hebrew means God. Thus, Elijah means “my God is Jehovah.” I am proud to say mine is too.

YouTube video

Question #46: Since Jehovah's Witnesses believe that you cannot truly know someone without knowing their name, doesn't this mean that you don't know your own God then since nobody knows the true name of God?

Probably the most important aspect of knowing that God has a personal name, regardless of what the correct pronunciation of the Hebrew may be, is that it distinguishes God from his son, Jesus. And of course, the theological gobbledygook known as the trinity is intended to obfuscate that distinction.

As for truly knowing the mind of God the apostle Paul made an interesting statement in that regard, when he said: **“who has come to know the mind of Jehovah, so that he may instruct him?” But we do have the mind of Christ.”**

First, let the trinitarian take note that the apostle made a distinction between the mind of God and the mind of Christ. Although the two are one —meaning they are in harmony —Christ has his own mind. He is his own person. Obviously, even if we knew the precise diction that, say, Moses used when he uttered the sacred name of YHWH, would that equate to knowing the mind of God? Of course not.

While Jehovah's Witnesses use a form of YHWH and we certainly know the difference between God and his son, and are familiar with God's past dealings with man and his basic character, those are pretty elemental truths. The revelation of Christ is yet to occur. And, of course, the manifestation of Jesus is really the unveiling of Jehovah since Christ is now the exact representation of his very being.

Knowing God's name —even the true pronunciation —is still only the simplest of truths. After all, the Devil and the demons surely know the name of God. That knowledge hasn't done much for them. In the human realm when two people meet for the first time usually an introduction is in order, where each learns of the other's name. That is usually the first thing we come to know about another. But can we say we really *know* another person simply because we know their name? Again, of course not.

The fact is, Jehovah has much to teach us. And he promises to do that very thing, through Christ and the Kingdom. It is what the new world is all about —coming to know the wonders of the one whom we call Jehovah.

Question #47: We all agree that God is all-powerful and all-knowing. How is it then that God allowed his name to be removed from all biblical manuscripts, making it impossible for people in our day to know his name, but we do know the name of Jesus and it is his name that is above EVERY name (Phil. 2:9) and there is no other name by which we must be saved (Acts 4:12)?

The questioner is very much misinformed. God has not allowed his name to be removed from all biblical manuscripts. Quite the contrary. The Tetragrammaton appears in almost 7,000 places throughout the Hebrew text. While some Jews may have superstitiously avoided uttering the Name, the copyists and scribes did not remove the name.

It is good to keep in mind that there was no conspiracy to remove the vowels from the Tetragrammaton. All Hebrew was written in a sort of shorthand, which involved removing the vowels. No doubt that was done because the writing medium in ancient times, be it vellum or papyrus, was a relatively scarce commodity. It was not like today. We never really have to think too much about where we are going to acquire paper to write on. Not so thousands of years ago.

The point is, when a Hebrew would read the texts consisting of consonants, the literate reader would supply the vowels to sound out the word, sort of like when we read the abbreviation “etc.”, for example, we naturally utter the word “et cetera,” not the letters themselves. The problem regarding the pronunciation of YHWH arose, not because the YHWH was removed from the Scriptures, but because the Jews left off speaking the name of God. In time, over centuries, the precise vowel sounds associated with the four consonants were forgotten. Centuries after the writing of the Hebrew Scriptures were finished scholars developed a system of vowel points, little dots and marks that indicated the correct vowel. However, because by that time the Jews had forgotten the vowels associated with the Tetragrammaton no vowel points were used with the YHWH.

Still, though, it is simply wrong to say that the Name was removed. It wasn't. The oldest known manuscripts —the Dead Sea scrolls —which, predate the time of Christ and are about 1,000 years older than the previously known oldest copies of Scripture, contain the Tetragrammaton. Also, the earliest copies of the Septuagint, the Greek translation of the Hebrew, also contained the Tetragrammaton.

The truth of the matter is, only modern translators have removed God's name from their versions of the Bible. But that has not prevented Jehovah's Witnesses from making God's name known to honest-hearted persons. It is God's will for his name to be declared to the ends of the earth. There is nothing men or demons can do to prevent it.

As regards Jesus being the only name given by which we might be saved, an important question to consider is this: who saved Jesus? The apostle Paul shed some light on that very thing when he wrote concerning the Christ: **“During his life on earth, Christ offered up supplications and also petitions, with strong outcries and tears, to the One who was able to save him out of death, and he was favorably heard for his godly fear. Although he was a son, he learned obedience from the things he suffered. And after he had been made perfect, he became responsible for everlasting salvation to all those obeying him, because he has been designated by God a high priest in the manner of Melchizedek.”** —Hebrews 5:7-10

Although evangelicals promote the idiotic notion that Jesus resurrected himself from the dead, Paul, who certainly knew the truth of the matter, reveals that Jesus was a God-fearing man. And because of his unswerving loyalty, God saved him out of death. Of course, in the trinitarian shell game God apparently pleaded with himself to save himself from death. And evidently God fears to displease himself and so he obeys himself. Truly, trinitarianism is every bit as stupefying as the ancient pagan worship of sticks and stones.

Since Jesus relied upon God to save him, that means Jehovah is the ultimate Savior. Indeed, the inspired Psalms that relate to Christ impute to him the following: **“That is why I will glorify you among the nations, O Jehovah, and to your name I will sing praises. He performs great acts of**

salvation for his king; He displays loyal love to his anointed one, to David and his offspring forever.” —Psalms 18:49-50

The resurrection of Jesus was truly the grandest act of salvation God has ever performed. Not only did God resuscitate his dead son, he transformed him into an immortal spirit; this, because God had already caused Jesus to be reborn as a spirit-begotten son when he was baptized by John. So, Jesus' post-resurrection mission is to glorify Jehovah for his loyal love.

Those who are bent on obscuring the special relationship between Jehovah and Jesus are going to find themselves at odds with Christ when he comes in the name of his Father to set all things straight.

Question #48: Why is it that you are called 'Jehovah's Witnesses' but Isaiah 62:2 says God would call his people by a new name (single name, not names) and that new name was already assigned in Acts 11:26?

Originally all of Jesus' disciples were said to belong to The Way. No doubt that is because Jesus said of himself, that he was the way, the truth and the life. In time, however, it became manifest, somehow, that God willed they be called Christians. That name sufficed to distinguish Jesus' disciples from all others for perhaps a few hundred years.

Presently though, nearly 2,000 years removed from the beginning of Christianity, there are thousands of disparate denominations, sects and cults that all consider themselves to be Christians in some fashion. In fact, as of 2001 there were over 33,000 such groups. No doubt over the years since then many more have sprung up or splintered off from larger sects. To my knowledge not one denomination solely refers to itself as Christians. If they all did it would mean that there would be tens

of thousands of denominations, sects and cults called “Christians,” instead of Catholics, First Baptist, Southern Baptist, Methodist, Christadelphians, Jesus Only Pentecost, etc., etc.

Most likely the questioner has some affiliation with a denomination or sect that doesn't simply call itself Christian. So, there is that element of hypocrisy on his part.

Obviously, all of the various sects and groups are called different names to distinguish themselves from others. Jehovah's Witnesses are no different. But, to clarify a point, the official name now is the Christian Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses. So, if one wishes to be technical, Jehovah's Witnesses are officially called Christians, in keeping with the first century designation.

But as for Isaiah 62:2, the questioner really doesn't know what he is talking about. The “new name” God will give is not the name “Christians.” The 62nd chapter of Isaiah pertains to the Second Coming of Christ. That is evident by reason of the fact that the context depicts the marriage of Christ and his bride —otherwise known as the congregation of the firstborn. The 4th verse goes on to relate: **“But you will be called My Delight Is in Her, and your land will be called the Married One. For Jehovah will take delight in you, and your land will be as one married. For just as a young man marries a virgin, your sons will marry you. With the rejoicing of a bridegroom over a bride, your God will rejoice over you.”**

The new name God will give is “My Delight Is in Her” —a prophetic name that expresses Jehovah's satisfaction with the organization known as the Kingdom.

In the human sphere marriage between a man and woman is considered a sacred bond. The wedding itself is a most joyous occasion. So, God uses marriage to

illustrate the joy that will arise when all of those who are called to Christ's Kingdom are finally united with him. The 19th chapter of Revelation depicts this very thing as well. By the way, that is when a great crowd of angels erupt with shouts of Hallelujah! That marriage will mark the end of Christianity, because God will have accomplished his purpose to produce 144,000 holy ones in union with Christ.

The new name God will designate is in keeping with the fact that the new covenant will then be concluded. It will have produced a new creation. The members of which will sing a new song. Even as individuals, Christ will give each member of the heavenly kingdom a new name. (Rev. 2:17) The new heavens will then go into operation, which Christ will use to make all things new. It will be a new day in a new world.

Question #49: Ignoring the deception, lies, and false prophecies of your organization, why is it that you are called 'Jehovah's Witnesses' if you do not even meet the basic criteria defined in the Bible at [Isaiah 43:10-12](#) and [Isaiah 44:8](#)?

Jehovah's Witnesses have a work to do —an assignment to fulfill. Of all the tens of thousands of sects that lay claim to being Christian, only Jehovah's Witnesses have taken up the work of preaching the Kingdom good news.

While the average "Christian" has no idea what the Kingdom of God even is, or what it will do, Jesus foretold that the good news of the Kingdom will be preached in all the world. Surely, the masses of deluded churchgoers who have no understanding about even the basic relationship between Jehovah God and Jesus, what happens at death, or even the most elemental things concerning God's purpose for the earth, could not possibly qualify to preach and teach what God would have us know, even if they were willing to do so.

On the other hand, Jehovah's Witnesses have gone to the ends of the earth to reach people with a message of hope. For centuries the Catholic Church forbid non-priests from even reading the Bible. It was, in fact, an offense deserving of death to even own a Bible. The Church of England was no different. Only God knows how many meek seekers of truth were tortured and murdered by trinitarian authorities in those dark days.

Now, though, those very same institutions and their myriads of splinter groups, all lay claim to being the way and the truth, even though none of them have taken up the Lord's command to go teach others.

The Watchtower, on the other hand, has provided Bibles in over 100 languages, and simple to understand explanations of the Bible's teaching in over 700 languages! And millions of individual ministers have taken the tools provided and gone to the ends of the earth—from festering ghettos to tropical jungles and all points in between—seeking out those who wish to know the truth.

Are there stumbling blocks? Yes. Jesus forewarned that there would be. But by God's spirit operating through Christ we may be certain that God's work will be accomplished—at which point the end will come. Then what? Then the judgment phase begins. Jesus referred to it as the conclusion of the system.

One aspect of the judgement Christ will render has to do with those who falsely claim to be Christians. Of these Jesus said: **“Not everyone saying to me, ‘Lord, Lord,’ will enter into the Kingdom of the heavens, but only the one doing the will of my Father who is in the heavens will. Many will say to me in that day: ‘Lord, Lord, did we not prophesy in your name, and expel demons in your name, and perform many powerful works in your name?’ And then I will declare to them: ‘I never knew you! Get away from me, you workers of lawlessness!’”**

Trinitarians and others who suppose Jesus is God would do well to note that the “many” whom Christ will reject claim to “prophesy in your name” —that is to say in Jesus’ name. But according to Jesus himself, the criteria for entry into the Kingdom is doing the will of the Father in heaven. True to the illustration trinitarians are big on Jesus, calling him “Lord, Lord,” but they go to great lengths to avoid recognizing Jehovah as the Father. And they strictly avoid doing the work of public preaching and teaching, which, of course, is the will of the Father. In other words, they want to be Christians on their own terms and not according to God’s will. Surely, that is the case with the hundreds of millions today who call themselves Christians.

In contrast with those who exclaim “Lord, Lord,” Paul encouraged Christians that God “will not forget your work and the love you showed for his name.” (Hebrews 6:10) Since even trinitarians readily admit that God has a name, but which they claim is not really important since Jesus has been given the name above every other name, obviously those who refuse to honor God’s name cannot possibly be those whom Jehovah will reward for the love they have shown for his name.

But what about Jehovah’s Witnesses? Do they receive a free pass? No. The Scriptures reveal that those who comprise the real congregation of Christ come under judgment first. That is because even in Christ’s congregation there are those who falsely claim to be Christians. The same demons who inspired the trinity and injected a host of pagan doctrines into what passes for Christianity have also infiltrated and influenced Jehovah’s Witnesses, at least to an extent. Of these demonic agents Jesus spoke, when he referred to the weeds that Satan sowed among the wheat. Paul also foretold that a man of lawlessness would perform an operation of Satan within the spiritual temple of God, even presenting himself as a god, at least up until the manifestation of Jesus brings him to nothing.

So, as regards the passage in the 43rd chapter of Isaiah, God is speaking to his people after the purge —after the wicked ones are removed. That is evident by reason of the fact that God says of his witnesses, **“I am the One who declared and saved and made known when there was no foreign god among you. So you are my witnesses,”** declares Jehovah, “and I am God.

So, what about the deception, lies and false prophecies? The fact is, most of Jehovah’s Witnesses are oblivious to such. But from God’s standpoint those who serve as his witnesses, his servants, are also blind and deaf to a certain truth; namely, the future presence of Christ. That is why in the 42nd chapter of Isaiah God addresses them in prophecy, to be unveiled in the future, when God poses the question: **“Who is blind except my servant, so deaf as the messenger I send? Who is so blind as the one rewarded, so blind as the servant of Jehovah? You see many things, but you do not keep watch. You open your ears, but you do not listen.”**

Jehovah’s Witnesses have seen many things, truths from God’s word. They have been blessed and rewarded in many ways. But they have not kept on the watch. They have embraced the lie that Christ came in 1914. As a consequence all of their interpretations are distorted. But their being blind does not exclude them from serving as Jehovah’s witnesses in the future —when Christ reveals himself. That is what the context of the 43rd chapter is about, part of which states: **“I will say to the north, ‘Give them up!’ And to the south, ‘Do not hold them back. Bring my sons from afar, and my daughters from the ends of the earth, everyone who is called by my name and whom I created for my own glory, whom I have formed and made.’ Bring out a people who are blind, though they have eyes, and who are deaf, though they have ears.”**

Let the trinitarians take note that those whom Jehovah recognizes as his sons and daughters are “called by my name.” They are called by the name of Jehovah. And their blindness will be remedied by the revelation of Christ. Too bad for them, there will be no such cure for the abject blindness that trinitarians embrace.

Jesus spoke of the future gathering of the chosen ones from the four corners of the earth that will take place during the conclusion. That is most certainly what Isaiah relates to, when God gathers his scattered ones and they become true witnesses of his and give the world a final witness as a prelude to its destruction.

Question #50: Why does Jehovah share his glory with Jesus (eg John 17:5 & Revelation 5:12-13), but scripture is clear that Jehovah does not share his glory with anyone (eg Isaiah 42:8 & Isaiah 48:11)?

The answer to the question is profound. Jehovah shares his glory with Jesus as an expression of his appreciation. Moreover, the underlying theme of scripture is that the Messiah came to vindicate his Father at great personal cost and he was duly rewarded for his sacrifice. Satan had taunted Jehovah that no one would obey him if they were made to suffer or die. Jesus, as the Firstborn of all of God’s creation, left his privileged place in heaven and submitted himself to a most unusual transformation when God reduced his essence into a DNA encoded male sperm and implanted him in an egg in the womb of a virgin Jewess.

This miracle was necessary to produce a perfect man, one who was a blood relative of mankind (not a separate, direct creation, which would have violated Jehovah’s self-imposed sabbath), but one who was not infected with inherited sin. As a perfect man, the “last Adam,” as Paul referred to him, Jesus was in position to not only vindicate God, but to also provide a corresponding value to the life that Adam originally possessed. As Paul said of Christ, “he learned obedience from the things

he suffered.” In fact, Paul further noted that while in the flesh Jesus submitted himself to God’s will all the way to death.

Because the son unswervingly obeyed the Father, Jehovah exalted him above all others. This is the very foundation of Christianity. Anyone who denies that Jesus came in the flesh —meaning he was a man, not a god-man, is an antichrist.

As regards Isaiah 42:8, this is a clear case of the questioner tweaking the question to omit the word “else.” Apparently, the intent is to hoodwink someone into believing that Jehovah did not glorify Jesus, which contradicts the very scripture the questioner cites in John. True, other translations do not use the implied “else” — merely saying that ‘I will not give my glory to anyone,’ but “anyone *else*” is certainly what is implied.

The importance of “else” is critical in understanding the passage. The dictionary definition of the simple, ordinary word, indicates it belongs in the verse. Dictionary.com states the following definition: Else – “Other than the persons or things mentioned or implied.”

Jehovah’s Witnesses who may be confronted with a question concerning Isaiah 42:8 should merely read the context, in so doing it ought to become immediately clear —at least to any honest-hearted searcher —that the “anyone *else*” pertains to Jesus. If the text were paraphrased it might say: ‘And I will not give my glory to anyone other than this one, my servant.’

The 42nd chapter of Isaiah completely destroys the trinitarians’ narrative. Apparently, though, they are too unenlightened to grasp it and instead trot out Isaiah 42:8 as one of their “proof texts.” But, again, surely any honest-reasoning person can see the truth by merely considering the verses in context that reveal that

God certainly implies that he will give his glory to a particular individual, but to no one else other than him. Here is what Jehovah has said:

“Look! My servant, whom I support! My chosen one, whom I have approved! I have put my spirit in him; He will bring justice to the nations. He will not cry out or raise his voice, and he will not make his voice heard in the street. No crushed reed will he break, and no smoldering wick will he extinguish. In faithfulness he will bring justice. He will not grow dim or be crushed until he establishes justice in the earth; and the islands keep waiting for his law. This is what the true God, Jehovah, says, the Creator of the heavens and the Grand One who stretched them out, the One who spread out the earth and its produce, the One who gives breath to the people on it and spirit to those who walk on it: I, Jehovah, have called you in righteousness; I have taken hold of your hand. I will safeguard you and give you as a covenant for the people and as a light of the nations, for you to open the blind eyes, to bring the prisoner out of the dungeon and those sitting in darkness out of the prison.”

Anyone who denies that the “servant” described in Isaiah is none other than Christ is either completely unacquainted with the Bible, which is forgivable and readily remedied, or they are a perverse hater of truth. In any case, even those with the most elemental knowledge of scripture know that Jesus is the covenant-bearer in behalf of Jehovah and as a servant of God he is tasked with bringing justice and salvation to this strife-worn earth. As stated in Isaiah concerning God’s glorious servant becoming a light of the nations, Jesus said of himself: “I am the light of the world.”

It is then the very next verse which states: **“I am Jehovah. That is my name; I give my glory to no one *else*, nor my praise to graven images.”**

Is it not apparent that it is implied that Jehovah does in fact give his glory and praise to his “servant,” but to no one else? It most certainly is.

So, Jehovah’s Witnesses need not shy away from answering this type of question. On the contrary, put the burden of proof on the trinitarian. Ask them to explain how, if Jesus is God, why does God refer to himself as his own servant? And why would God give himself his own glory? Let them add some clarity as to how and why God takes himself by the hand and leads himself in the way of righteousness.

Of course, the trinitarian has no problem accepting such absurdities, as contradictions and ludicrousness are the core of their “faith.” They will embrace sheer asininity with all the reverence they can muster —whatever it takes —just as long as they do not have to admit that Jehovah’s Witnesses are right.

Question #51: How do you explain the 2 Jehovahs stated in [Genesis 19:24](#)? This was removed from your 2013 Bible, but is in prior versions. Jehovah made it rain sulfur and fire from Jehovah. Which means there are 2 Jehovahs. By reading the previous context this can be proven too. For example, Jehovah was physically standing before Abraham in [Genesis 18:22](#). So the Jehovah that was on Earth called down fire from the Jehovah in heaven.

For a person who touts his expertise in exposing “JW lies” the questioner is astonishingly ignorant and frankly, untruthful. First, the newly revised NWT did not remove anything in that verse. The purpose of an honest translator is to add clarity to the text, which is what they did.

Keeping in mind that the events recorded in the Bible book of Genesis took place hundreds of years before Moses even undertook to put them in writing, customs, expressions and idioms were very different four thousand years ago, even changing over the course of time from, say, Abraham to Moses; so, it should not seem out of the way that the literal expressions in Hebrew may seem awkward to the modern reader.

It certainly is an odd argument for a Jehovah-hating trinitarian to make, though, that there are two Jehovahs, since apparently one Jehovah is more than they can stomach. But evidently two Jehovahs are acceptable for the reason that it is a lie and any lie is preferable to the trinitarian than the simple truth that Jehovah is God.

As for the cornball idea that there are two Jehovahs, Jehovah must have anticipated this sort of foolishness when he declared to Moses: **“Listen, O Israel: Jehovah our God is one Jehovah.”**

As for Genesis 19:24, here is the way the 2013 NWT renders it: **“The sun had risen over the land when Lot arrived at Zoar. Then Jehovah made it rain sulfur and fire on Sodom and Gomorrah—it came from Jehovah, from the heavens.”**

Whatever ambiguity the trinitarian wishes to inject into the passage to twist the Scriptures into supporting the cockamamie idea that there are two Jehovahs —one “Jehovah” apparently being Jesus (no mention of Jehovah #3 lurking about as the Holy Ghost) —the 2013 NWT makes it clear that the appearance of the name of Jehovah twice in the same verse does not constitute two individuals named Jehovah. The passage merely underscores that the fire was generated in the spirit realm and it came down from Jehovah in heaven —as opposed to a natural phenomena such as multiple lightning strikes or something of that nature.

It should be recognized that this was an extraordinary destruction. God could have caused an earthquake or brought in a violent windstorm. But he didn't. It should have been clear to any who witnessed the event that the destruction of the two iniquitous cities was the work of God, which is why Moses emphasized the fact that the fire and sulphur "came from Jehovah, from the heavens."

As for the absurd suggestion that one "Jehovah" was on the earth and another "Jehovah" was in heaven, the questioner obviously refuses to accept Jehovah's own declaration that no man can see his face and live. Perhaps the questioner lacks the reasoning ability to sort it out? Whatever. But based upon the simple truth that no man has seen God nor can a human directly look upon the glorious Creator of the universe without being vaporized, we may categorically rule out the suggestion that the "man" called "Jehovah" was Jehovah himself.

Why is he called "Jehovah" then? The three "men" who came to Abraham were materialized angels. That the three "men" were angels is evident from the fact that the two "men" who went down to Sodom, to retrieve Lot and his family, are specifically identified as angels. The other "man" stayed behind with Abraham and answered his questions concerning the rightness of God's judgments and the extent of his mercy. Because that angel spoke in behalf of Jehovah the angel is called "Jehovah."

This was not the first time an angel spoke in behalf of Jehovah. A few years before an angel appeared to Abraham's runaway concubine and spoke to her God's message. But the account states of Hagar: **"Then she called on the name of Jehovah, who was speaking to her."** Whereas the account clearly states that an angel visited Hagar, it also says Jehovah spoke to her. Reasonable and intelligent people realize that the angel simply spoke for Jehovah.

Some may wonder, though, why the angel took God's name to himself or why he was allowed to by the Bearer of that name. Here are a couple of things to consider. First, as already stated, angels are God's messengers. That is what angel means — messenger. In other words faithful angels speak for God. Secondly, given the fact that wicked angels promote the worship of holy angels, God's angels are reluctant to reveal their personal names. The tendency to unduly honor or even worship angels simply because they are superior to humans was demonstrated by Manoah, Sampson's father. Consider the account, which says: **“Then Manoah said to Jehovah's angel: ‘What is your name, so that we may honor you when your word comes true?’ However, Jehovah's angel said to him: ‘Why are you asking about my name, seeing that it is a wonderful one?’”**

The angel that materialized to Manoah and his wife did not appear as a normal human. Manoah's wife, who first encountered the messenger, described him to her husband as “awe-inspiring.” Evidently that was not the case with the materialized messengers who visited Abraham. They evidently appeared as fully human. In any case, note Manoah's tendency to want to honor the messenger was the reason the angel did not reveal his wonderful name. To underscore the reason the angel spoke in anonymity, there are only two instances in the Bible where angels personal names are revealed —one being Michael, the archangel, the other angel being Gabriel, who stands near to God. But look how Catholics have turned Gabriel into an object of reverence. Given the fact that the demons promote the worship of anything and everything other than Jehovah, it should now be obvious why the angel that appeared to Abraham was allowed to simply be described as “Jehovah.” Not only did he speak for Jehovah, but using God's name was simply another way of saying: Why should you ask about my name?

Of course, because there is not a shred of support in the Bible for the three-in-one-god the trinitarians worship, they are compelled to fabricate trinitys from thin air—as is the case with this two Jehovahs nonsense.

Question #52: How do you explain the 2 Jehovahs at [Isaiah 44:6](#)?

Some of these questions are just stupid, but for the sake of offering an answer to whoever demands it, no matter how unreasonable they may be, the scripture relates two different roles and titles that Jehovah assigns to himself. Jehovah is the King of Israel and Jehovah is also the Repurchaser of Israel. There are not two Gods called “Jehovah.” There are merely two aspects of Jehovah’s relationship with his servant, Israel.

Question #53: How do you explain the 2 Gods at [Amos 4:11](#)?

Jehovah simply refers to himself in the third person, referring to the past when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah. Again, there are not two Gods, just one God who uses various tenses of speech.

Question #54: If Jesus died on a torture stake with a single nail through both hands, why does [John 20:25](#) say that NAILS were used for his hands?

The questioner already asked this question. It was answered here ([#41](#))

Question #55: if Jesus died on a torture stake with arms positioned vertically above his head, then why does [Matthew 27:37](#) say that the sign was posted above his head and not posted above his hands or arms?

The point is, the sign was not placed at his feet or on a stick stuck in the ground to one side. The sign was nailed to the stake above his head. It matters little that his arms were also above his head. So was the sign. Sensible people get the idea.

Question #56: How do you explain the contradiction that seems to be present between [John 19:17](#) and [Matthew 27:32](#). In [John](#), it says Jesus carried the torture stake himself as he went out to the place of the skull. However, in [Matthew](#) it says that they made Simon carry the torture stake as they went out to the place of the skull. If a cross was used and it was in 2 pieces (pole and cross beam) during transport, the scriptures would not be a contradiction, but how do you explain it?

“Contradictions” can be fairly easily resolved if one is willing to use their mind to reason things out and not leap to conclusions. John and Matthew were both eyewitnesses to the events they described. Both wrote from their own perspective. It seems most likely that Jesus carried his torture stake originally, but because the place of execution was some distance from where Jesus stood before the judgment seat of Pilate, apparently Jesus gave out on the way.

This is entirely understandable since Jesus had been up all night being interrogated by the Jews, afterwards the Romans had a go at him, taking turns hitting him in the face. Having been whipped with a scourge and beaten, no doubt deprived of food and water, Christ had evidently reached his physical limit and could not drag the stake the rest of the way to the so-called the Skull Place. Just think of a wooden railroad tie, only longer. Most of you have probably not had the occasion to pick one up, but having worked in landscape years ago, I have. (along with another man) We are talking approximately 200 pounds. And factoring in the hilly terrain it

would have been an arduous task for Jesus even before he had been subjected to torture and deprivation.

Whereas, John simply says Jesus carried his stake, that statement would not be wrong. He did. Just not all the way. (Keep in mind John wrote his account more than a half century after the fact, so perhaps he didn't wish to go into unimportant details.) Matthew, on the other hand, being a tax collector, was more given to detail. And one detail is important to note, which is, Matthew stated **“As they were going out, they found a man of Cyrene named Simon. This man they compelled into service to carry his torture stake. And when they came to a place called Golgotha, that is, Skull Place...”**

The expression “as they were going out” indicates that Simon was pressed into service sometime *after* Jesus had already traveled an unspecified distance out to Golgotha dragging his stake. So, Jesus carried his stake part of the way and Simon carried it the rest of the way —one stake, not two.

Question #57: By explaining away old false teachings as receiving “new light”, it seems like the Watch Tower is setting themselves up to never be wrong or accountable. What would prevent other false religions from using this same tactic to also justify their ever-changing false teachings? If this would happen, why would your religion be from God, but they would not?

“Other false religions”? It would appear that the questioner considers his own trinitarian claptrap as nothing more than false religion. That makes him a monumental hypocrite. He no more believes the nonsense he is ardently peddling than an atheist believes in the virgin Mary. He simply wishes to disprove Jehovah's Witnesses to justify his disbelief.

But as regards false teachings, the questioner has spent considerable time trying to prove the trinity. However, his “proof” is easily refuted as the rubbish it is. Now, he categorizes the beliefs of Jehovah’s Witnesses as ever-changing false teachings. That is a lie. The fact is, the core doctrines of the Watchtower’s teachings have *never* changed. No doubt the questioner is merely regurgitating the pap of exJW’s who can’t get over the fact that the Watchtower went back and forth over whether or not the men incinerated by the fire and sulphur Jehovah rained down on Sodom will be resurrected. Apparently, this is an insurmountable stumbling block for the spiritual toddlers.

As for the Watchtower being unaccountable to men, that much is true. But we all will stand before the judgment seat of the Christ. It is unavoidable, although by that time the Watchtower will have served its purpose and will no longer be necessary anyway.

Question #58: If old false teachings can simply be explained away by receiving “new light”, then it is theoretically possible that what you are teaching now may actually be false because in another 5 years or so there can be “new light” again. Since this is the case, wouldn’t Jehovah be responsible for spreading false teaching?

There is no possibility that Jehovah and Jesus are part of some mysterious trinity. That is primarily what the questioner has tried to prove and he has failed to make the case. Now he wishes to imply by innuendo that some unknown teaching is false without specifying what it is. This is merely the tactic of a weasel. If the questioner wishes to be specific he can write up another list of 60 questions, or whatever, and I will be happy to answer them.

Question #59: If you are being saved by taking in accurate knowledge, how much knowledge must you take in to be saved? Where is the line drawn? How can this be measured?

God is much more reasonable than humans. His basic requirements are that we know his name and the name of his son and the difference between the two. However, that simple requirement disqualifies most people who call themselves Christians.

Question #60: If you are being saved by taking in accurate knowledge, what date did Jehovah's Witnesses first become eligible for salvation? Your teachings have been changing since day 1. For example, Jesus can be worshipped then Jesus cannot be worshipped. Jesus is not Michael the Archangel and now Jesus is Michael the Archangel. There is the changing generation definition, the ever-changing 1914 relevance, and so on. If Jehovah's Witnesses only now today have accurate knowledge and can therefore be saved, what happens to the hundreds of thousands of JW's who have died since the organization was founded?

The questioner does not know the most elemental things of the truth. For example, the questioner is obviously ignorant of the fact that Jehovah intends to bring the vast majority of mankind who have ever lived and died back to life. We are talking about Hindus, Muslims, Philistines, animists —the whole lot, people who knew nothing about the truth, whatsoever. It doesn't matter what they believed or didn't believe. The same goes for true Christians who have died in this present age.

However, those early Bible Students who were just breaking away from the tyranny of the churches understood the basics of the truth. And that was very important. (Keep in mind the original Christians knew nothing about the fulfillment of prophecies, such as in Daniel and Revelation.) Knowing the basic truth qualified some of the Bible Students to receive the heavenly calling and the first resurrection, which is far superior to the general resurrection the aforementioned will receive.

That being true, though, with the blessings come the tests. And Jehovah imposes a test of faith in various ways, one way is by allowing stumbling blocks to exist.

That should not be considered unfair or unusual. Many of Jesus' original disciples were stumbled when he told them they had to eat his flesh and drink his blood in order to be saved. Jesus apparently knew they were looking for a way out and he gave it to them. That is why Jesus said: **“Happy is the one who finds no cause for stumbling in me.”**

God shows the same consideration now. He allows stumbling blocks to exist in order that persons whose hearts are not complete towards him can excuse themselves and get lost. Jehovah is very considerate.